ORIGINAL RESEARCH

A Retrospective Teaching Hospital Based Study Of Clinical Profile Of Patients Presenting With Ectopic Pregnancy

Dr. Isha Bansal¹, Dr. Priyanka Dahiya², Dr. Archana Bharti³, Dr. Richa Kansal⁴, Dr. Gargi⁵

1,2,3 Associate Professor, 4 Professor and Head, 5 Assistant Professor, Department of OBG, KCGMC, Karnal, India

Corresponding author

Dr. Richa Kansal Professor and Head, Department of OBG, KCGMC, Karnal, India

Received date: 23 January, 2023 Acceptance date: 12 February, 2023

Abstract

Ectopic pregnancy is a global problem and is the most common life-threatening emergency in early pregnancy and its incidence is increasing especially due to various risk factors varying according to geography, literacy ,religion, contraception practises and so on.

Materials and methods: This retrospective observational study was conducted in the Dept of OBG of a tertiary care centre of North India over a period of period of 2 years in 170 patients presenting with signs and symptoms of ecopic pregnancy. The data was compiled by using a predesigned structured proforma *and* retrieved data was tabulated and statistical differences in the distribution were tested using parametric and nonparametric statistical tests. All observations were discussed and compared with recent literature and final conclusions were derived.

Results: In this study, the maternal age ranged from 18 to 45 yrs with maximum incidence found in the age group 21-30 years. Nulliparous females along with second gravida (21-25 yrs and third gravidas(26-30yrs) constituted the majority of patients. About 3/4th of the patients belonged to the poor socioeconomic status More than 85% patients were found to have positive urine pregnancy tests. The most significant factors found to be associated with ectopic pregnancy were age >35yrs, history of PID/previous abortion/previous abdomino-pelvic surgeries followed by use of ovulation induction drugs, OCP /IUD use. The most common presenting symptoms of the patients were abdominal pain, bleeding per vaginum with history of amenorrhea with more than half of them eliciting pallor and few of them presenting with fever and features of hypovolemic shock. The most common surgeries performed in the present study were salpingectomy (unilateral/bilateral) with or without oopherectomy with more than half of the cases having manifestations of ruptured ectopic pregnancy with hemoperitoneum more than 500ml. Fortunately there was no mortality found in our study

Conclusion Ectopic pregnancy is a clinical condition which usually presents as a surgical catastrophe and very difficult to be differentiated from other surgical emergencies .A high index of suspicion along with screening of high risk cases and early referral from periphery can go a long way in reducing the morbidity and mortality.

Keywords: Ectopic Pregnancy, IUCD

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Introduction

Ectopic pregnancy is a global problem and is the most common life-threatening emergency in early pregnancy leading to significant morbidity and fetal loss¹. The rate of ectopic pregnancies has increased from 0.5% to 8% but there has been a decrease in maternal mortality during the past two decades¹. Physicians should be sensitive to the fact that in the reproductive age group, any women presenting with pain in the lower abdomen, diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy should be entertained irrespective of the presence or absence of amenorrhoea, whether or not she has undergone sterilization. Diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy is frequently missed and rising trend in incidence of ectopic pregnancies necessitate

awareness about risk factors, resultant morbidity and mortality. Early diagnosis reduces the risk of tubal rupture and allows more conservative medical treatments to be employed³. This study was conducted in a tertiary care centre in India emphasizing the need of knowledge of the risk factors and clinical presentations of ectopic pregnancy in order to facilitate early and more accurate diagnosis and to decrease maternal mortality and morbidity and to substantiate the existing literature

Methodology

This retrospective observational study was conducted in the Dept of OBG of a tertiary care centre of North India over a period of period of 2

years in 170 patients with signs and symptoms of ectopic pregnancy who fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

 All the patients admitted with a diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy, either ruptured or unruptured.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients diagnosed as having intrauterine pregnancy
- Patients with incomplete case records
- Patients who left against medical advise

The sampling method used was universal purposive sampling. The data was compiled by using a predesigned structured proforma including various demographic data, maternal variables like characteristics, present and past obstetrics history relevant points in history like history of PID (no. Of episodes), surgical history like tubal reconstructive surgery, previous ectopic pregnancy, infertility treatment, contraception practices, various clinical presentations haematological and radiological investigations , modes of treatment and surgical details if patient underwent surgery. All the authors and co-authors were involved in the retreival,

collection, compilation, data analysis and final report making. The data was entered and analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), reference version. Detailed analysis and comparisons were done using simple descriptive statistics and presented as percentages in tables. Based on the normalcy of the data, the data was analysed using parametric and nonparametric statistical tests. Qualitative variables were represented using frequency(absolute/relative) and percentages. For quantitative variables such as age and duration of trauma, the data were represented using mean ± standard deviation and range .Retrieved data was tabulated and statistical differences in the distribution was tested. P value<.05 and <.01 was considered significant and highly significant respectively..All observations were discussed and compared with recent literature and final conclusions were derived.

Results

In this study, The maternal age was from 18 to 45 yrs with the maximum incidence being found in the age group 21-30 years. The chi-square statistic was 71.3 and p value was 0.372144(Result is not significant at p <0.05)

Age group(years)	Number of cases (%)
<20	22(12.9%)
21-25	69(40.6%)
26-30	41(24.2%)
31-35	13(7.6%)
>35	25(14.7%)
Total	170(100%)

Table 1: Ectopic pregnancy in relation to age

In the present study ,nulliparous females along with second gravida (21-25 yrs and third gravidas(26-30yrs) constituted the majority of patients. The chi-square statistic was 131.02 and p value was <001.(Result is significant at p < 0.05)

Age group (yrs)	PARITY						
	Nulliparous	1	2	3	4		
15-20	12 (7%)	4(2.3%)	=	-	-		
21-25	24(14%)	15 (8.6%)	33 (19.4%)	-	-		
26-30	-	9(5.3%)	8(4.7%)	29 (17.1%)	5(2.9%)		
31-35	-	-	7(4.1%)	7 (4.7%)	-		
36-40	-	-	-	8(4.8%)	9(5.3%)		
Total	36 (21%)	28(16.2%)	48(28.1%)	44 (26.6%)	14(8.1%)		

Table 2: Correlation of the sample by age and parity

SES categories	No. Of cases	Percentage
Low	121	71.2
Medium	32	18.8
High	17	10
Total	170	100.0

Table 3: Distribution of the cases by Socio-economic status

In the study, about $3/4^{th}$ of the patients belonged to the poor socioeconomic status .The chi-square statistic was 167.31 and p value was <001.(Result is significant at p <0.05)

Interval	No. Of cases	Percentage
Nullipara	28	16.2
1-2 years	36	21
3-5 years	37	21.8
5 + years	69	41
Total	170	100.0

Table 4: Table showing the interval between last pregnancy and ectopic pregnancy

There was a linear correlation between the chance of ectopic pregnancy and time elapsed between last pregnancy and ectopic pregnancy with maximum incidence being found when the gap was more than 5 years. The chi-square statistic was 30.90 and p value was <001.

More than 85% patients were found to have positive urine pregnancy tests. (**Table 5**)

Urine Pregnancy		Tubal	Un-	misc	
test	Ruptured	abortion	ruptured		
Negative	9(5.2%)	3 (1.8%)	5(2.9%)	2(1.2%)	The chi-square statistic is 8.07
					and p value is <0.001.
Positive	78 (45.9%)	23 (13.5%)	43 (25.3%)	7(4.1%)	The chi-square statistic is
					99.305 and p value is <0.001.

Table 5: Correlation of urine pregnancy test and peroperative condition of the tube

In our study ,the most significant factors found to be associated with ectopic pregnancy were age >35yrs,history of PID/previous abortion/previous abdomino-pelvic surgeries followed by use of ovulation induction drugs,OCP /IUD use .(TABLE-6.7,8) with respect to site of ectopic pregnancy and status of tubes at the time of surgery.

Risk factors	No. of cases	Percentage
Age>35 years	25	14.7
Tubal surgery/tubal ligation	10	5.9
PID	25	14.5
Previous abortion/MTP	23	13.5
Previous abdo-pelvic surgery/LSCS	23	13.5
Oral contraceptive pill use	15	8.6
Intrauterine device	16	9.3
Ovulation induction	19	11.8
Previous ectopic pregnancy	7	4.1
H/O tuberculosis	7	4.1

Table 6: Table showing the Risk factors in ectopic pregnancy

	Ampullary	Isthmal	Interstitial	Others	The chi-square	P
Risk factor					statistic	Value
Age>35 years	22(12.9%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	70.56	<0.001.
Tubal surgery/tubal ligation	6 (3.5%)	1(0.6%)	2(1.2%)	1(0.6%)	9.067	<0.001.
PID	20(11.8%)	1(1.2%)	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	54.34	<0.001.
Previous abortion/MTP	16(9.4%)	3(1.8%)	2(1.2%)	2(1.2%)	32.64	<0.001.
Previous abdo-pelvic	17(10%)	2(1.2%)	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	39.54	<0.001.
surgery/LSCS						
Oral contraceptive pill use	11(7.2%)	2(1.2%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	25.155	<0.001.
Intrauterine device	10(6%)	2(2.4%)	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	16.667	<0.001.
Ovulation induction	11(7.8%)	3(1.8%)	3(1.8%)	2(1.2%)	16.889	<0.001.
Previous ectopic pregnancy	3(1.8%)	2(1.2%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	2.09	>0.05.
H/O tuberculosis	4(2.4%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	4.14	>0.05.

Table 7: Distribution of the sample based on the site of ectopic pregnancy and risk factor

		Tubal	Un-ruptured		The Chi-Square	P Value
Risk factor	Ruptured	abortion		misc	Statistic	
Age>35 years	14(8.4%)	4(2.3%)	6(3.6%)	1(0.6%)	19.78	0.000188
Tubal surgery/tubal ligation	5(3%)	1(0.6%)	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	5.887	>0.05
PID	16(9.6%)	2(1.2%)	7(4.1%)	1(0.6%)	28.9231	< 0.001.
Previous abortion/MTP	12(7.2%)	3(1.8%)	7(4.1%)	1(0.6%)	16.40	< 0.001.
Previous abdo-pelvic	10(6%)	5(3%)	7(4.1%)	1(0.6%)	10.248	< 0.001.
surgery/LSCS						
Oral contraceptive pill use	8 (4.7%)	2(1.2%)	4(2.3%)	1(0.6%)		< 0.001.
					10.22	
Intrauterine device	8 (4.7%)	3(1.8%)	4(2.3%)	1(0.6%)	8.67	< 0.003.
Ovulation induction	9(5.4%)	4(2.3%)	5(3%)	1(0.6%)	9.83	0.0026
Previous ectopic pregnancy	2(1.2%)	1(0.6%)	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	2.095	0.553.
H/O tuberculosis	3(1.8%)	1(0.6%)	2(1.2%)	1(0.6%)	2.095	0.5528

Table 8: Distribution of the sample by Risk factors and condition of the tube

In the present study, the most common presenting symptoms of the patients were abdominal pain,bleeding per vaginum wih history of amenorrhea with more than half of them eliciting pallor and few of them presenting with fever and features of hypovolemic shock. The distribution of the patients with respect to site of ectopic pregneancy and status of tubes at the time of surgery is given in (table 9,10)

Mode of presentation	Site				The Chi-Square	
	Ampullary	Isthmal	Interstitial	Others	Statistic	P-value
Pain	68 (40.5%)	16 (9.6%)	3(1.8%)	4 (2.4%)	166.13	< 0.001.
Bleeding per vaginum	72 (43.2%)	21(10.2%)	3(1.8%)	3(1.8%)	17.2	< 0.001.
H/O amenorrhea	64 (38.1%)	8 (4.8%)	1(0.6%)	4 (2.4%)	186.65	< 0.001.
Classic triad	24(14.4%)	4 (2.4%)	1(0.6%)	2(1.2%)	58.92	< 0.001.
Pallor	89 (53.4%)	20 (12%)	4 (2.4%)	6(3.6%)	216.98	< 0.001.
Shock	11 (6.6%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	28.5714	< 0.001.
Fever	4 (2.4%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	5.143	< 0.001.

Table 9: Distribution of the sample by Site of ectopic pregnancy and the mode of presentation

Mode of presentation	Ruptured	Tubal abortion	Un- ruptured	misc	The Chi-Square Statistic	P-value
Pain	55 (32.35%)	20(11.8%)	28 (16.5%)	5 (2.9%)	65.08	< 0.001.
Bleeding per vaginum	59 (34.7%)	16 (9.4%)	37 (21.8%)	5 (2.9%)	77.892	< 0.001.
H/O amenorrhea	37 (21.8%)	19 (11.2%)	30 (21.4%)	3(1.8%)	39.4757	< 0.001.
Classic triad	16 (9.4%)	8(4.7%	13(7.6%)	2(1.2%)	15.4188	< 0.001.
Pallor	47 (27.7%)	17 (10.0%)	36 (21.2%)	6 (3.6%)	51.371	< 0.001.
Shock	8(4.7%)	2(1.2%)	2(1.2%)	2(1.2%)	10.286	0.163
Fever	4 (2.4%)	4 (2.4%)	3(1.8%)	2(1.2%)	1.1282	>0.05

Table 10: Distribution of the sample by mode of presentation and the condition of the tube

In the present study, the most common clinical signs elicited in such patients were abdominal tenderness, distension and guarding. The distribution of the patients with respect to site of ectopic pregneancy and status of tubes at the time of surgery is given in (table 11,12)

Abdominal examination	Site of ectopic pregnancy				The Chi-Square Statistic	P-value
	Ampullary	Isthmal	Interstitial	Others		
Tenderness	89 (52.35%)	20 (11.7%)	3(1.8%)	4(2.4%)	96.65	< 0.001.
Distension	17(10%)	5(2.9%)	1 (0.6%)	2(1.2%)	34.72	< 0.001.
Guarding	29 (17.1%)	7(4.1%)	1(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	74.53	< 0.001.

Table 11: Distribution of the sample by abdominal examination findings and the condition of the tube

Abdominal	Ruptured	Tubal	Un- ruptured	misc	The Chi-Square	P -value
examination		abortion			Statistic	
Tenderness	69 (40.6%)	21(12.35%)	37(21.8%)	7(4.1%)	84.81	< 0.001.
Distension	22 (12.9%)	9 (5.3%)	9(5.3%)	2(1.2%)	26.54	< 0.001.
Guarding	65 (38.3%)	17(10%)	27(15.9%)	6(3.5%)	91.49	< 0.001.

Table 12: Correlation of abdominal examination findings and the condition of the tube

On per speculum and PV examinations, majority of the patients had bleeding with normal uterine size with half of the patients having cervical and forniceal tenderness with or without mass. (Table 12)

Physical examination findings	Present/absent	No. of cases
		(Percentage)
Bleeding	Absent	61(35.9%)
	Present	109(79.4%)
	Total	170(100%)
Uterine size	Normal	159(93.5%)
	Increased	11(6.5%)
	Total	170(100%)
Cervical tenderness	Absent	74(43.5%)
	Present	96(56.5%)
	Total	170(100%)
Forniceal tenderness	Absent	97(57%)
	Tenderness alone	19(11.2%)
	Tenderness with mass	54(31.8%)
	Total	170(100%)

Table 13: Per speculum examination and PV findings

The most common surgeriesperformed in the present surgery were salpingectomy unilateral/bilateral) with or withou oopherectomy with more than half of the cases having manifestations of ruptured ectopic pregnancy with hemoperitoneum more than 500ml.(**Table 14**)

Procedure	No.of cases	Percentage	The chi-square statistic is
Unilateral salpingectomy	121	71.2%	37.583 and p value is <0.001.
Bilateral salpingectomy	19	11.2%	
Unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy	22	12.9%	
Salpingo-oophorectomy	8	4.7%	
with contralateral tubectomy			
Condition of the tube	No. of cases	Percentage	The chi-square statistic is
Ruptured	87	51.2%	72.967 and p value is <0.001.
Un-ruptured	60	35.2%	
Tubal abortion	9	5.8%	
Hem peritoneum <500 ml	30	17.6%	
Hem peritoneum ≥500 ml	119	69.8%	

Table 14: Condition of the fallopian tube on laparotomy

In our study ,more than 85% patients received more than 1 pint of blood tansfusion with around 20% patients requiring ICU care .About 10-15% patients had wound complications and postoperative hospital stay of more than 7 days but fortunately there was no mortality found in our study .(**Table 15**)

Indicators	Number of cases	Percentage
Blood transfusion (≥1 pints)	147	86.5%
Post-operative hospital stay(>7 days)	26	15%
Post-operative wound complications	17	10
Require ICU admission	32	19.1
Require general anesthesia	82	48.1
Acute renal failure/MODS	00	00
Mortality	00	00

Table 15: morbidity associated with ectopic pregnancy

Discussion

In this study, range of maternal age was from 18 to 45 yrs with maximum incidence in the age group 21-30 years(64.8%) which is comparable to study by Nitesh M⁴, et al (57.68%). In present study, average age of patients was 29.1 ± 5.42 .with (16.1%) patients being primigravida and rest 40 (64.1%) were multigravida . Similar results were reported in the study carried out by Shaikh NB 5et al (primi - 24.70% and multi - 70.30%). The incidence of nulliparous (21.1%) women having ectopic pregnancy was found to be similar compared to other studies such as those conducted by Privadarshini B⁶ et al(27%) UPT was done in 88.8% cases for confirmation which is comparable to study carried by Sivalingam VN⁷, et al (UPT - 87.1 . In present study, H/O previous abortion/MTP found in 13.5% cases in contrast to study conducted by Shetty S,8 et al. (32%). PID was found in 14% cases suggesting strong evidence that PID is responsible for the ectopic pregnancy but in the study done by Udigwe GO9 et al, the incidence of PID as a risk factor was found to be 34.4% showing significant varaiblity in incidence of PID.In present study, 9.3% cases were using an intrauterine device (IUD) as a method of contraception. Combining oral contraceptive pills and IUD, 17.9% cases had ectopic pregnancy which is comparable with Yakasai IA¹⁰, et al. (21.17%). Ovulation induction resulted in an incidence of ectopic pregnancy in around 11.8% cases comparable to results of the study conducted by Barnhart KT¹¹ et al (16.21%). History of abdominal and pelvic surgeries including caesarean sections were responsible for 13.7% of ectopic pregnancies, a result similar to results reported by Rakhi, Mital PL.¹² et al. (17.05%). In present study. previous ectopic pregnancywas found in 4.1% with comparable results in study carried by Prasanna B,¹³ et al. (4.95%) which is consistent with the hypothesis that patients with previous history of ectopic pregnancy have a high propensity for recurrence. Tubal surgeries were carried out in 5.9% patients in present study which is much lower as compared to studies conducted by Saha PK¹⁴, et al. (40%). Most common presenting symptom was pain in abdomen which was seen in 63.7% cases followed by history of amenorrhea (56.4%), a finding similar to study conducted by Gaddagi RA¹⁵ et al (pain = 80.6%, amenorrhea = 77.4%). Bleeding per vaginum was found in 68.8% cases which is similar to the study conducted by . Singh S^{16} et al. (64.36%). Classical triad of ectopic pregnancy was found in 53.84% cases ,a finding comparable to the result reportd by Asuri SS ¹⁷et al. (60%). In the present study, the most common clinical signs elicited in such patients were abdominal tenderness, distension and guarding and 27 (8.4%) cases presented to the hospital in shock. On clinical examination, it was found that abdominal tenderness present in 78.8% cases which is consistent with a study carried out by Poonam ¹⁸et al (70.3%). Abdominal distension was found in 24.8% of patients. On abdominal palpation, abdominal mass was felt in 19.23% cases which is also seen in study carried out Yadav A19 et al (16.2%). On per speculum and PV examinations, majority of the patients had bleeding with normal uterine size with half of the patients having cervical and forniceal tenderness with or without mass. Cervical motion tenderness was elicited in 56.5% cases which is comparable with study conducted by Jurkvic D ²⁰et al (75.7%). Adnexal mass was found in 67.30% cases which is comparable with study done by Creanga A²¹ et al (70.3%). The most common surgeries performed in the present study salpingectomy (unilateral/bilateral) with or without oopherectomy with more than half of the cases having manifestations of ruptured ectopic pregnancy with hemoperitoneum more than 500ml . In the present study, salpingectomies wre done in 84.4% cases which is similar to the incidence found in the study carried out by Kirk E^{22} et al (89.10%).

Salphingo-oophorectomy needed to be done in 17.6% cases which is comparable to the results of the study by Divyesh P²³, et al.(12.8%).Most of the patients had rupturd ectopic (51.2%) pregnancy which is consistent with studies from Wedderburn CJ²⁴, et al. (58.9%). The incidence of unruptured ectopic was found to be 35.2%, an observation similar to the one found in the study carried out by (7.7%).17.6% of cases had hemoperitoneum less than 500 ml and 69.8% cases had hemoperitoneum more than 500 ml similar to study carried out by Wakankar R25. et al. In our study more than 85% patients received more than 1 pint of blood transfusion. About 10-15% patients had wound complications and postooperative hospital stay of more than 7 days but fortunately there was no mortality found in our study .86.5% patients required blood transfusion in intra or post-operative period which is comparable to study carried by Khan B,²⁶et al. (94.4%). Post-operative wound infection was found in 10% cases which is less compared to study by Ranji GG ²⁷et al. (25%).

15% patients were discharged after a ≥ 7 days stay. Fortunately, no mortality was reported in our study . About 19.1 % cases required ICU care ,an incidence similar to the one reported by Majhi AK^{28} , et al.

Funding: No funding sources **Conflict of interest:** None declared

Conclusion

There has been a sharp increase in detection rates of ectopic pregnancies due to ready availbility of hormonal tests, transvaginal sonography and laparoscopy but unfortunately most of the cases are operated as an emergency cases due to delay in diagnosis and late referral from periphery. Ectopic pregnancy should be suspected in a woman of reproductive age presenting with the triad of pain in abdomen, amenorrhea and vaginal bleed irrespective of the status of tubal ligation. An high index of

suspicion along with screening of high-risk cases, early diagnosis and intervention and timely referral from periphery can go a long way in reducing the morbidity and mortality in such cases.

References

- 1. Dayal N. A retrospective study on ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary care hospital. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 2019;18(4):11–14.
- Schippert C, Soergel P, Staboulidou I, et al. The risk of ectopic pregnancy following tubal reconstructive microsurgery and assisted reproductive technology procedures. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012;285(3):863–871. DOI: 10.1007/s00404-011-2092-6.
- 3. Gupta R, Sanjay P, Swarnkar M, et al. Incidence, trends and risk factors for ectopic pregnancies in a tertiary care hospital of Rajasthan. J Pharmaceut Biomed Sci 2012;16(16):1–3.
- 4. Nitesh M, Radheshyam B, Savitri S. Study of ectopic pregnancy in a tertiary care centre. Int J Reprod ContraceptObstet Gynecol 2020;9:212-5.
- 5. Shaikh NB, Shaikh S, Shaikh F. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancy. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2014;26(2):178-81.
- Priyadarshini B, Padmasri, Jnaneshwari TL, Sowmya KP, Bhatara U, Hema V. Ectopic pregnancy: a cause for maternal morbidity. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017;5(3):700-4.
- 7. Sivalingam VN, Duncan WC, Kirk E, Shephard LA, Horne AW. Diagnosis and management of ectopic pregnancy. J Fam PlannReprod Health Care 2011;37:231-40.
- 8. Shetty S, Shetty A. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancies in a tertiary care hospital of Mangalore, India. Innov J Med Health Sci 2014;4:305-9.
- Udigwe GO, Umeononihu OS, Mbachu II. Ectopic pregnancy: A 5 year review of cases at Nnamdi Azikiwe university teaching Hospital (NAUTH) Nnewi. Niger Med J 2010;51:160-3.
- Yakasai IA, Abdullahi J, Abubakar IS. Management of ectopic pregnancy in Aminu Kano teaching hospital Kano Nigeria: A 3-year. Glob Adv Res J Med Med Sci 2012;1:181
- 11. Barnhart KT. Clinical practice. Ectopic pregnancy. N Engl J Med 2009;361:379-87.
- 12. Rakhi, Mital PL, Nupur H, Agarwal A, Makkar P, Fatima A. Ectopic pregnancy: a devastating catastrophe. Sch J App Med Sci. 2014;2(3A):903-7
- 13. Prasanna B, Jhansi CB, Swathi K, Shaik MV. A study on risk factors and clinical presentation of

- ectopic pregnancy in women attending a tertiary care centre. IAIM. 2016;3(1):90-6.
- 14. Saha PK, Gupta P, Goel P, Sehgal A, Huria A, Kataria S, et al. Ectopic Pregnancy: a diagnostic dilemma Int. J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2016;5(2):367-70.
- 15. Gaddagi RA, Chandrashekhar AP. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancy. J Clin Diagn Res 2012;6:867-9.
- Singh S, Mahendra G, Vijayalakshmi S, Pukale RS. Clinical study of ectopic pregnancy in a rural setup: A two year survey. Natl J Med Res2014;4:37-9.
- 17. Asuri SS, Kalpana P. A clinical study of ectopic pregnancy. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2016;5(11):3750-3.
- 18. Poonam, Uprety D, Banerjee B. Ectopic pregnancy-two years review from BPKIHS, Nepal. Kathmandu Uni Med J 2005;3:365-9.
- 19. Yadav A, Prakash A, Sharma C, Pegu B, Saha MK. Trends of ectopic pregnancies in Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017;6:15-9.
- 20. Jurkvic D. Diagnosis and management of ectopic pregnancy. British Med J. 2011;342:3397.
- 21. Creanga A, Carrie K, Bish CL, Zane S, Berg CJ, Callaghan WM. Trends in ectopic pregnancy mortality in the United States: 1980-2007. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:837-43.
- 22. Kirk E, Bottomley C, Bourne T. Diagnosing ectopic pregnancy and current concepts in the management of pregnancy of unknown location. Hum Reprod. Update. 2014:20(2):250-61.
- 23. Divyesh P, Gunvant V, Kunal S. Study of management in patient with ectopic pregnancy. Natl J Integr Res Med 2011;2:91-4.
- 24. Wedderburn CJ, Warner P, Graham B, Duncan WC, Critchley HO, Horne AW. Economic evaluation of diagnosing and excluding ectopic pregnancy. Hum Reprod 2010;25:32833.
- 25. Wakankar R. Ectopic pregnancy a rising trend. Int J Scient Study 2015;3(5):18–22. \
- Khan B, Deeba F, Khan W. A 10 year review of 255 cases of ectopic pregnancy. J Androl Gynaecol 2013;1:4.
- 27. Ranji GG, Usha Rani G, Varshini S, et al. Ectopic pregnancy: risk factors, clinical presentation and management. J Obstet Gynaecol India 2018;68(6):487–492. DOI: 10.1007/s13224-017-1075-3.
- 28. Majhi AK, Roy N, Karmakar KS, et al. Ectopic pregnancy an analysis of 180 cases. J Indian Med Assoc 2007;105(6):308–312.