



Research Paper

USE OF VEGETABLE WASTE THROUGH AEROBIC COMPOSTING OF VILLAGE BAMHANI, DISTRICT: -GONDIA (MAHARASHTA STATE), INDIA

Nambhau H Katre^{1*}

*Corresponding Author: **Nambhau H Katre**, ✉ nhkpdb@gmail.com

The objective of this paper is to study the recycling of the vegetable waste through aerobic composting by NADEP method is a simple method to process and operate which is nuisance free, environmental friendly, aesthetically good looking, economical in long term and socially acceptable as the final product has good fertilizer value.

Keywords: *Bamhani*, Composting, Rural, Village, Incinerated

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays the population of village Bamhani is also increasing rapidly. The current population of the village is 1200. In this village there is no well organized system of Solid Waste Management due to apathy of the Gram Panchayat and lack of funds. In the recent years, the management of solid waste had become a greater concern. Open dumping of solid waste is creating environment pollution. In this village dry solid waste is burnt (incinerated) on the open land wherever available, which reduces the waste to ash and release potentially hazardous gases into the air causing public health risk. Solid waste which is dumped on the low laying areas and open land emits large amount of methane gas to the atmosphere which

boosts up the global warming as it has a potential of about twenty times that of carbon dioxide. In this village the poverty is the major problem and most of the people use wood for cooking as well which is also one of the causes of environmental pollution. The occupation of the people is farming, hence they have the cattle. Cattle waste create menace to the environment and ultimately to the public health. Hence there is an imperative need to manage the solid waste in the engineered way.

The objective of this paper is to study the performance of the aerobic composting of vegetable and fruit wastes in different proportions which may prove to control the environmental pollution and the end product will be useful to the peoples.

¹ Department of Civil Engg., Manoharbai Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., Gondia (MS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The kitchen waste (i.e., vegetable waste), has been selected as composting material along with the use of fallen tree leaves and dry grass as bulking material, for carrying out the study work of aerobic composting. The ratio of vegetable waste and fallen tree leaves and dry grass was taken as 5:2 in order to adjust C/N ratio (Table 1).

The following criteria were selected for an appropriate method for efficient disposal of vegetable waste and fallen tree leaves.

1. Nuisance and environmental friendly atmosphere.
2. No extra financial burden in collection and transportation of waste.
3. Minimum installation and operation cost.
4. Easy process to operate and maintain.
5. Effective disposal of vegetable waste generated from the kitchen.
6. Producing a final product which may be used as a good manure.

NADEP Method was selected for the aerobic composting of the said village waste. The vegetable waste generated from the kitchen of each household was collected and all the objectionable material was separated at the generation place itself. The average quantity of waste per day was 35 kg/day based on the

collection of one week which was not sufficient to fill the tank. Hence it was decided to fill the tank in shifts after storing the waste of four days due to early subjectivity to microbial decay of vegetable waste.

The collected waste was stored daily in a pit of one feet deep along the one side of the tank. Besides vegetable waste, fallen tree leaves and dry grass was also collected from the streets, yards and gullies.

The percent composition of different vegetables was observed as below.

| | | | |
|-------------|-----|-------------|----|
| Cauliflower | 20% | Karela | 3% |
| Cabbage | 23% | Coriander | 1% |
| Brinjal | 12% | Capsicum | 1% |
| Lauki | 10% | Lady Finger | 2% |
| Beans | 8% | Radish | 2% |
| Potato | 7% | Carrot | 1% |
| Pumpkin | 4% | Cucumber | 1% |
| Spinach | 4% | Peas | 1% |

Waste Characteristics

Observations and Findings

Findings

- The color of the finished product is dark brown.
- It is having an early scent and crumbly in nature.
- The temperature of the product is almost equal to ambient temperature (Table 2).

Table 1: Characteristics of Composting Material and Bulking Material

| Waste Sample | Moisture content (%) | pH | Carbon(%) | Nitrogen (%) | C/N Ratio |
|---|----------------------|------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Vegetable waste | 83.00 | 6.71 | 33.00 | 1.50 | 22.00 |
| Three leaves and grass cutting (Dry) | 32.00 | 7.40 | 48.90 | 0.60 | 81.50 |
| Combined waste (5 parts of vegetable waste + 2 part of fallen tree leaves) | 65.50 | 6.80 | 36.50 | 1.179 | 30.96 |

Table 2: Observed Values of Temperature during Composting

| Time (in Days) | Temperature °C | |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Ambient Temperature | Compost Temperature |
| 1 | 27.50 | 29.00 |
| 8 | 25.30 | 42.00 |
| 15 | 28.00 | 49.50 |
| 22 | 31.00 | 50.50 |
| 30 | 33.00 | 47.00 |
| 37 | 32.50 | 40.00 |
| 45 | 35.00 | 40.00 |
| 52 | 34.50 | 36.00 |
| 60 | 31.20 | 32.20 |
| 67 | 34.00 | 33.50 |
| 75 | 32.40 | 32.50 |
| 82 | 31.40 | 30.50 |
| 90 | 31.00 | 30.50 |

- pH of the final compost is 8.02
- Final C/N ratio is 17.16.
- Material was reduced to final size in granular form except very small percentage of some soil lumps, which were formed due to some partially decomposed tiny grass cutting of cellulite nature.
- The percentage of seed germination in compost was found to be 92.50%.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the recycling of the vegetable waste through aerobic composting by NADEP method is a simple method to process and operate which is nuisance free, environmental friendly, aesthetically good looking, economical in long term and socially acceptable as the final product has good fertilizer value.

Graph 1: Change in Temperature During the Process




Table 3: Observed Values of Moisture Content during Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time(in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Moisture Content (%) | 65.50 | 57.50 | 52.70 | 47.50 | 50.00 | 48.00 | 47.00 |

Graph 2: Change in Moisture Content During the Process




Table 4: Observed Ph Value during Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Time (in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| pH | 6.80 | 5.50 | 7.00 | 7.80 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.02 |

Graph 3: Change in pH During the Process




Table 5: Observed Organic Content During Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time (in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Organic Content (% Dry weight) | 66.00 | 48.50 | 40.00 | 36.20 | 29.00 | 26.35 | 24.89 |

Graph 4: Change in Organic Content During the Process




Table 6: Observed Value of Carbon Content During Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time(in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Carbon Content (% Dry weight) | 37.77 | 28.00 | 23.4 | 20.95 | 16.82 | 15.27 | 14.43 |

Graph 5: Change in Carbon Content During the Process




Table 7: Observed Value of Nitrogen Content During Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time(in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Nitrogen Content (% Dry weight) | 1.187 | 0.92 | 0.80 | 0.698 | 0.724 | 0.721 | 0.841 |

Graph 6: Change in Nitrogen Content During the Process




Table 8: Observed Value of Phosphorous Content During Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time(in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Phosphorus Content (% Dry weight) | 0.611 | 0.584 | 0.570 | 0.567 | 0.588 | 0.585 | 0.598 |

Graph 7: Change in Phosphorous Content During the Process




Table 9: Observed Value of Potassium Content During Composting

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time(in Days) | 1 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 |
| Potassium Content (% Dry weight) | 0.52 | 0.567 | 0.580 | 0.540 | 0.560 | 0.564 | 0.551 |

Graph 6: Change in Potassium Content During the Process




Table 10: Observed Value of C/N Ratio During Composting

| Time (in Days) | Organic Content (%) | Carbon(%) | Nitrogen (%) | C/Nratio |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| 1 | 66.00 | 37.77 | 1.187 | 31.82 |
| 15 | 48.50 | 28.00 | 0.92 | 30.43 |
| 30 | 40.00 | 23.40 | 0.80 | 29.25 |
| 45 | 36.20 | 20.95 | 0.698 | 30.01 |
| 60 | 29.00 | 16.82 | 0.724 | 23.23 |
| 75 | 26.35 | 15.27 | 0.721 | 21.18 |
| 90 | 24.89 | 14.43 | 0.841 | 17.16 |

Graph 9: Changes in C/N Ratio During the Process




Table 11: Comparison of Chemical Characteristics of Fresh Waste, Finished Compost and Standard Compost

| Parameters | Fresh Vegetable Waste | Finished Compost | Standard Compost |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Organic matter | 60.00 | 24.89 | 25 - 50 |
| Carbon | 37.77 | 14.43 | 8 - 40 |
| Nitrogen | 1.187 | 0.841 | 0.50 - 3.40 |
| Phosphorous | 0.611 | 0.598 | 0.50 - 3.50 |
| Potassium | 0.52 | 0.551 | 0.50 - 2.00 |
| pH 6.80 | 8.02 | 7 - 8 | |

Note: All the values are in % except pH.

Composting process by selected method seems to be an economical and physical proposition because except its initial cost (involved for construction of NADEP tank), it will not require any extra cost of collection and transportation of the waste. The existing system has already the facilities of collection and transportation and need not appoint any additional establishment for this job. Since the masonry tank constructed for composting will be used trice every year (having a minimum life span of 20-30 years), hence introduction of this system will prove to be economical for long term.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Adrie Veeken Sergey Kalyunhnyi, Heijo Scharff and Bert Hamelars (2002), "Effect of pH and VFA on Hydrolysis of Organic Solid waste", *Journal of Environment Engineering*, December.
2. Bahra J S (2001) "Aerobic Composting of Horticulture Waste: A Case Study" Dissertation submitted to Nagpur University.
3. Blitewski B, Hardle G, Marek K, Weissbach A, Hoeddicks H (1997), "Waste Management", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
4. BIS 9234 (1979), "Method of Preparation of Solid Waste Sample".
5. BIS 10158 (1982), "Methods of Analysis of Solid Waste excluding Industrial Waste".
6. Encyclopedia "Environmental Land Pollution and its Control".
7. Gawalpanchi R R, Mhaisalkar V A and Bhide A D (2002), "Compost from Municipal Solid Waste", Proceedings National Conference on Pollution, Prevention & Control in India, March 2-3.
8. Jain A P and Pant G B (1994), Institute of Himalayan Environment and development, India "Solid waste management in India", 20th WEDC conference, Colombo, Srilanka.
9. Jayashree Desh Pandhe (2002), "Bioconversion of Garbage into soil enricher (Biofertilizer): A Case Study" Proceedings National Conference on Pollution, Prevention and Control in India, March 2-3.
10. Joseph L Pavoni, John E Heer Jr. D. Joseph

- Hagerty (1973), "Hand Book of Solid Waste Disposal, Material and Energy Recovery", Van Nos-Trand Reinhold Co., 450 West 33rd St., New York 10001.
11. Mahamta Gandhi Institute for Rural Industrialization, Wardha (Maharashtra) "Biomanure: A Way Towards Organic Farming".
 12. Ministry of Urban Development, Govt. of India "Manual on Municipal Solid Waste Management" CPHEEO, New Delhi.
 13. Olaniya M S, Bhoyar R V and Bhide A D (1992), "Effect of Solid Waste Disposal on Land", *Indian Journal of Environmental Health*, Vol. 34, April.
 14. Satpute D B, Pratima Shastri and Dharamadhikari D M (2002), "Economic Utilization of Fruit and Vegetables Solid Waste for Value Addition: An Environmental Approach" Proceedings National Conference on Pollution, Prevention and Control in India, March 2-3.
 15. Samuel A Vigil and George Tchobanglous (1994), "Comparison of the Environmental Effects on Aerobic and Anaerobic Composting Technologies" 87th Annual Meeting and Exhibition, Cincinnati, Ohio, June 19-24.
 16. Sharma V J, Ambulkar AR and Bhoyar R V (2002), "Potential Health Hazards Associated With Solid Waste Management" Proceedings National Conference on Pollution, Prevention and Control in India, March 2-3.