# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# A cross-sectional study to assess awareness regarding immunization among caregiver of infantsvisiting Immunization Clinic at tertiary care center in Gwalior in Madhya Pradesh

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Prashant Kumar Dixit, <sup>2</sup>Dr. RichaChangulani, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Ranjana Sharma, <sup>4</sup>Dr. MahendraChauksey, <sup>5</sup>Dr. Durgesh Shukla

<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>Department ofCommunity Medicine/ P.S.M., G.R. Medical College Gwalior,Madhya Pradesh, India <sup>3</sup>Department ofPharmacology Atal Bihari Vajpayee Government Medical College, Vidisha, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author** 

Dr. Durgesh Shukla

Department of Community Medicine, P.S.M., G.R. Medical College Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India Email: durgeshstatsgrmc2019@gmail.com

Received: 6 February, 2023

Accepted: 13 March, 2023

# ABSTRACT

Background: Most parents have a poor understanding of vaccine-preventable diseases and believe in false propagations about the contents, side effects, and effectiveness of vaccines. This study was conducted to assess the profile of infant with their caregiver and awareness regarding immunization among the caregivers who were attending Immunization Clinic.Material and Methods : The present cross-sectional study done on the 450 Caregivers of infants up to 1 year of age who gave consent to participate in the study. The descriptive representation of data was done in the form of numbers and percentages, calculated in MS Excel. Results: The majority of infants i.e., 183(40.6%) were 2-4 months of age, came at 5th visit for vaccination (32%), 61.8% were males and belonged to the general category (53.3%). Hindus caregivers were observed in preponderance (90.4%). Mostly infants were from urban areas i.e., 436(96.9%). This table shows that more than  $3/5^{\text{th}}$  of infants were born with  $\geq 2.5$  kg birth weight i.e., 292(64.9%). About half (55.6%) of the infants were at the first birth order. The majority of caregivers i.e., 449(99.8%) had already heard about immunization and 77.8% had the knowledge that immunization prevents morbidity from a certain specific disease. Maximum proportion of caregivers got information about immunization through Anganwadi Workers i.e., 287(63.7%). Conclusion: Immunization can prevent many morbidities, mortalities and disabilities. In this study, majority of infants were males, were in age group of 02-04 months, belonged to general category and Hindu families living in the urban area. Most of the parents were graduates, living in joint families, and belonging to upper middle class. In this study, almost all caregivers were already heard about immunization and knew that immunization was necessary and 77.8% knew that immunization prevent morbidity due to certain specific disease. Key Words: Knowledge; Prevention; Vaccination.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

#### INTRODUCTION

Vaccination is one of the most cost-effective interventions to prevent major illnesses that contribute to infant mortality and morbidity, as well as the most successful method for eradication of diseases. <sup>[1]</sup>This is illustrated by the eradication of smallpox all over the world in 1980, and the elimination of poliomyelitis from four of the World Health Organization regions. In the country, particularly in environments where malnourished infants, overcrowding, poverty, and illiteracy reign, immunization saves millions from illness, suffering, and lifelong disability (WHO estimate, 2009).<sup>[2,3]</sup> In India, vaccine coverage was progressing slowly till 2010, after which there has been a stagnant state till 2013. Mission Indra-dhanush (MI), was launched by GOI in 2014, to target the underserved (remote ruralurban slum dwellers), vulnerable (migrants), resistant, and inaccessible populations (hilly areas), which resulted in a 6.7% increase in full vaccination coverage. In October 2017, came the Intensified Mission Indra-dhanush (IMI) to accelerate progress to reach 90% full vaccination coverage in districts and urban areas with persistently low levels of vaccination status 5 but studies conducted in 190 IMI districts found the proportion of fully vaccinated children to be 69% which is an 18.5% increase from pre-IMI estimate<sup>[4]</sup> with the best possible efforts and political will, vaccination coverage is still lagging behind.

Literature review reveals that decision-making for vaccination is highly influenced by various social factors such as past experiences with health services, family histories, feelings of control, conversations with friends, etc. <sup>[5]</sup>" Shared beliefs about disease etiology, potency, efficacy, and safety of modern medicine as well as vaccines and views related to preventive measures " decide the vaccine culture in the community along with "local health services experiences and vaccination settings" influence the individual decision about vaccination. Children age 12-23 months fully vaccinated based on information from either vaccination card or mother's recall was 76.4 % as per NFHS-5 (2019-21) and 62.0% as per NFHS-4 (2015-16). <sup>[6]</sup>Full immunization coverage as per NFHS-4/IMI survey for the Gwalior district is 52.5% so the possibility of vaccine hesitancy among caregivers could be there at this level of FIC. <sup>[7]</sup>A study conducted in Gwalior city in 2019 reports vaccine hesitancy present among 20% of families. [8]It is influenced by factors such as complacency, confidence. Ignorance convenience, and in communities leads to a low perceived risk of VPDs and thus vaccination is not considered essential. It has been observed that vaccine hesitancy is heavily impacted by a lack of confidence in the vaccine's safety and efficacy as well as fears regarding the reliability and competence of the health system.<sup>[9]</sup> Most parents have a poor understanding of vaccineprevent able diseases and believe in false propagations about the contents, side effects, and effectiveness of vaccines. [10]To ascertain the various reasons the present study was designed. Present study was conducted to assess the profile of infant with their caregiver and awareness regarding immunization among the caregivers who were attending Immunization Clinic.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was a hospital-based cross-sectional study. A predesigned, pretested, structured interviewbased questionnaire was used for data collection from all the caregivers of infants up to one year of age, who attended the immunization clinic of Madhav dispensary of J.A group of hospitals of G.R medical college, Gwalior (M.P) from November 2019 to June 2021 . By considering a 5% absolute error and 95% confidence interval the sample to be taken by using formula N =4PQ/L2, where P proportion of knowledge regarding immunization among caregivers = 50 %, L = Absolute error =5% and non- response rate 10%.By using the above formula, the minimum sample size was calculated for the study as 440 which were increased to 450. Caregivers who gave consent to participate in the study were included.While seriously ill and debilitated infants and Children above 12 months of age were excluded from the study. Ethical permission was taken from the Institutional Ethical Committee of G.R medical college, Gwalior (M.P.) before starting the study.(D.No:284/IEC-GRMC/2019). Data were collected and entered in MS Word & MS Excel sheets. The graphical representation of data was done figures using and tables. The descriptive representation of data was done in the form ofnumbers and percentages, calculated in MS Excel. The analysis was performed with IBM Statistical Package for the SocialSciences (SPSS, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) version 26. P<0.05 wasconsidered statistically significant.

# **OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**

Table 1: Descripti	ve p	rofi	ile o	f Infa	ants who	visite	d Imm	unizat	tion OI	PD at t	ertiary	care ce	enter

VariablesRelated With	Infants Profile	Frequency	Percentage	
Age Group (in months)	0-2	115	25.5	
	2-4	183	40.6	
	4-6	5	1.1	
	6-8	2	0.4	
	8-10	144	32	
	10-12	1	0.2	
Visit number	1(at birth)	4	0.9	
	2(6th week)	120	26.7	
	3(10th week)	90	20	
	4(14th week)	92	20.4	
	5(at 9 months)	144	32	
Gender	Male	278	61.8	
	Female	172	38.2	
Category	Others	240	53.3	
	OBC	130	28.9	
	SC&ST	80	17.8	

Religion	Hindu	407	90.4
	Muslim	36	8.0
	Others (Sikh,	7	2.0
	Christian)		
Place of Residence	Urban	436	96.9
	Rural	14	3.1
Birth weight(kg)	<2.5	158	35.1
	>2.5	292	64.9
Birth Order	1	250	55.6
	2	157	34.8
	>3	43	9.6

The majority of infants i.e., 183(40.6%) were 2-4months of age, followed by 144(32%) infants were 8-10 months of age and 115(25.5%) were up to 2 months of age. The table shows that the majority of infants 144(32%) came at 5th visit for vaccination, 120(26.7%) came at the 2nd visit, 92(20.4%) came at the 4th visit, 90(20%) came at 3rd visit, 4(0.9%) came at 1st visit (birth dose). The majority of infants were males i.e., 278 (61.8%) while 172(38.2%) were females. The majority of infants belonged to the general categoryi.e., 240 (53.3%) followed by the OBC category i.e., 130(28.9%), rest80(17.8%) belonged to the SC & ST category. Majority of infants were Hindus i.e., 407(90.4%), 35(8%) were Muslim, rest 7 (2%) were others which include Sikh, Christian.Mostly infants were from urban areas i.e., 436(96.9%). This table shows that more than 3/5<sup>th</sup>of infants were born with  $\geq 2.5$  kg birthweight i.e., 292(64.9%). About half (55.6%) of the infants were at the first birth order.

Table 2: Distribution of Socio-Demographic Variables of the Caregivers.

Variab	les	Frequency	Percentage	
Education of mother	Illiterate	15	3.3	
	Primary school	18	4	
	Middle school	51	11.3	
	High school	72	16	
	Intermediate	54	12	
	Graduate	166	36.9	
	Post-graduate	74	16.4	
Education of father	Illiterate	20	4.44	
	Primary school	9	2	
	Middle school	34	7.6	
	High school	52	11.6	
	Intermediate	64	14.2	
	Graduate	194	43.1	
	Post-graduate	77	17.1	
Occupation of father	Business	92	20.4	
_	Private job	199	44.2	
	Government job	81	18	
	Others	78	17.3	
Occupation of mother	Household work	410	91.1	
	Private job	21	4.7	
	Government job	15	3.3	
	Others	4	0.9	
Age of caregiver	<26	151	33.5	
	27-34	267	59.3	
	>35	32	7.1	
Relation of caregivers	Mother	393	87.3	
with infants	Father	36	8	
	Others	21	4.7	
Decision-maker	Mother	273	60.7	
regarding	Father	34	7.6	
immunization	Both	Both 143		
Type Of Family	Nuclear	210	46.7	
	Joint	240	53.3	
Socioeconomic Status	Upper Class	103	22.9	

Upper Middle Class	176	39.1
Middle Class	106	23.6
Lower Middle Class	59	13.1
Lower	6	1.3

This table shows that the majority of mothers were graduates i.e., 166(36.9%), followed by post-graduates i.e., 74(16.4%), 72(16%) were educated till high school, 54(12%) were educated till intermediate, 51(11.3%) were educated till middle, 18(4%) were educated till primary, and 15(3.3%) were illiterate. The majority of father were graduates i.e., 194(43.1%), 77(17.1%) post-graduates, 64(14.2%) intermediate, 52(11.6%) high school, 34(7.6%) middle school, 20(4.44%) illiterate, and 9(2%) primary school. This table shown that the majority of fathers i.e., 199(44.2%) did a private job, 92(20.4%) did business, 81(18%) did a government job, 78(17.3%) were others which includes farmers, laborers, students, and unemployed people. This table shows that the majority of mothers i.e., 410 (91.1%) did household works. The majority of caregivers i.e., 267(59.3%)were from 27-34 years of age, followed by 151(33.5%) were  $\leq 26$  years, rest 32(7.1%) were  $\geq 35$ years. This table shows that the majority of families were joint families i.e., 240(53.3%), followed by nuclear families i.e., 210(46.7%). In this table, majority of infants i.e., 176(39.1%) were from uppermiddle class, 106(23.6%) middle class, 103(22.9%)upper class, 59(13.1%) lower middle class, 6(1.3%)lower class respectively. This table shows that the majority of caregivers i.e., 393(87.3%) were mothers. This table shows that the majority of mothers i.e., 273(60.7%) were decision makers in matter of immunization, followed by both (mother & father)at 143(31.8%).

Table 3

V	ariables	Frequency	Percentage
Heard About	Yes	449	99.8
Immunization	No	1	0.2
The Objective Of	Don't Know	50	11.1
ImmunizationProgr	To Prevent Death Due To	50	11.1
am	Certain Specific Disease		
	To Prevent Morbidity Due	350	77.8
	To CertainSpecific Disease		
Knowledge And	Neighbors	18	4
Source Of	TV	49	10.88
Information About	Radio	3	0.66
Immunization	Newspaper	27	6
	Relative	42	9.33
	ANM	86	19.11
	Anganwadi Workers	287	63.7
	Hospital Staff	129	28.6
	Doctor	134	29.77
	Others	11	2.44
Caregivers About	Should Not Be Given	310	68.9
Immunization	Should Be Given	25	5.6
During Mild Flu	Don't Know	115	25.6
Importance Of	Yes	440	
Immunization	No	10	
Cards for The			
Caregiver			
Knowledge Of Side	Yes	391	86.9
Effects Occurs	No	59	13.1
After			
Vaccination			
Vaccination Is	Yes	449	99.8
Important After A	No	1	0.2
Few Side Effects			

The majority of caregivers i.e., 449(99.8%) had already heard about immunization, only 1(0.2%) had not heard about immunization. The majority of

caregivers i.e., 350(77.8%) had the knowledge that immunization prevents morbidity from a certain specific disease, followed by50(11.1%) having knowledge that immunization prevents death due to certain specific diseases, while the rest, i.e. 50(11.1%) didn'thave knowledge about the objectiveof the immunization program. Majority of caregivers got information about immunization through Anganwadi Workers i.e.,287(63.7%), followed by doctors 134(29.77%), hospital staff 129 (28.6%),ANM 86 (19.11%), TV 49 (10.88%), relatives 42 (9.33%), newspapers 27(6%), neighbors 18 (4%), others 11 (2.44%), radio 3 (0.66%).Most of the caregivers 449(99.8%) had opinions about immunization being

necessary while only 1(0.2%) caregiver had the opinion that immunization was notnecessary. The majority of caregivers i.e., 310(68.9%) opined that immunization should not be given during mild flu, 25(5.6%) opined that immunization should begiven during mild flu, 115 (25.6%) didn't know about this.The maximum proportion of caregivers 391(86.9%) knew side effects thatoccur after vaccination, while 59(13.1%) did not know about side effects thatoccur after vaccination.

Vaccine	Schedule		Dose		Ro	ute	Site	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
BCG	59	391	16	434	20	430	31	419
	(13.1)	(86.9)	(3.6)	(96.4)	(4.4)	(95.6)	(6.9)	(93.1)
Hepatitis B	34	416	17	433	16	434	19	431
_	(7.6)	(92.4)	(3.8)	(96.2)	(3.6)	(96.4)	(4.2)	(95.8)
<b>OPV</b> (0)	116	334	153	297	210	240	210	240
	(25.8)	(74.2)	(34)	(66)	(46.7)	(53.3)	(46.7)	(53.3)
<b>OPV</b> (1,2,3)	35	415	151	299	207	243	208	242
	(7.8)	(92.2)	(33.6)	(66.4)	(46.0)	(54.0)	(46.2)	(53.8)
Pentavalent	19	431	13	437	13	437	15	435
(1,2,3)	(4.2)	(95.8)	(2.9)	(97.1)	(2.9)	(97.1)	(3.3)	(96.7)
FIPV	12	438	11	439	11	439	11	439
	(2.7)	(97.3)	(2.4)	(97.6)	(2.4)	(97.6)	(2.4)	(97.6)
Rotavirus	18 (4)	432	20	430	31	419	31	419
		(96)	(4.4)	(95.6)	(6.9)	(93.1)	(6.9)	(93.1)
PCV	11	439	11	439	11	439	11	439
	(2.4)	(97.6)	(2.4)	(97.6)	(2.4)	(97.6)	(2.4)	(97.6)
MR 1 <sup>st</sup> Dose	16	434	13	437	12	438	12	438
	(3.6)	(96.4)	(2.9)	(97.1)	(2.7)	(97.3)	(2.7)	(97.3)
Vitamin A	16	434	13	437	22	428	22	428
	(3.6)	(96.4)	(2.9)	(97.1)	(4.9)	(95.1)	(4.9)	(95.1)

Table4: Distribution of knowledge of caregiver regarding immunization Schedule, Dose, Route &Site:

In this table it was shown that, 59(13.1%), 16(3.6%), 20(4.4%), 31(6.9%), caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route & site of BCG vaccine respectively, 34(7.6%), 17(3.8%), 16(3.6%), 19(4.2%), caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route & site of hepatitis B vaccine respectively, 116(25.8%), 153(34%). 210(46.7%) caregivers 210(46.7%), knew the schedule, dose, route & site of OPV (0) vaccine respectively. 35(7.8%), 151(33.6%), 207(46%), 208(46.2%), caregivers knew the schedule, dose, vaccine route & site of OPV (1,2,3)respectively.19(4.2%),13(2.9%), 13(2.9%),15(3.3%) caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route & site of (1,2,3)pentavalent vaccine respectively.12(2.7%),11(2.4%),11(2.4%),11(2.4%), caregivers knewthe schedule, dose, route & site of FIPV vaccine respectively; 18(4%), 20(4.4%), 31(6.9%), 31(6.9%), caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route &site of rotavirus vaccine respectively. 11(2.4%) caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route, site of PCV vaccine respectively; 16(3.6%), 13(2.9%), 12(2.7%), 12(2.7%) caregivers knew the schedule, dose, route, site of MR 1<sup>st</sup>dose vaccine respectively. 16(3.6%), 13(2.9%), 22(4.9%), 22(4.9%) caregivers

knew the schedule, dose, route & site of vitamin-A supplement respectively.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, there were 450 infants, out of which61.8% were malewhile the rest38.2% were female. Similar findings were reported by other studies. <sup>[8,11-13]</sup> Ourfindings were not in concordance with some studies. <sup>[2,14,15]</sup> In the present study,40.6% infants were in the age group of 2-4 months, 32% were 8-10 months of age. Similar findings were reported by GebreEyesus et al, N. B. MASTERS etal, Makgomo R Mphakaet al. <sup>[16-18]</sup>In the current study, 53.3% infants were from the general category, 17.7% from SC& ST category,28.9% from the OBC category. Similar findings werereported by Singh S, et al. <sup>[19]</sup> Our findings are not in accordance with the studyconducted by Anjan Datta et al. [20] In present study, 90.4% infants were Hindu, 8% were Muslim, rest wereothers i.e., 2% which include Sikh and Christian. Similar findings were reported in some studies. <sup>[2,10, 21]</sup> while dissimilar with Ms. Mereena etal. <sup>[22]</sup>In the present study, 96.9% infants' residences were urban areas while3.1%'s residences were in rural

areaswhich were similar with the study of Mereena et al., Bofarraj M. et al.<sup>[15,22]</sup>Our findings regarding the education of caregiversweresupported by Lamiya KK et al., VasanthaKalyani C et al., Binai K Sankaretal. <sup>[2,10,11]</sup>Similar findings regarding the father's education were reported byLamiyakk et al.Our findings are not in accordance with a study conductedby Anjan Datta et al.<sup>[10,20]</sup>For the mother's occupation, similar observations were made in a study conductedby Lamiya KK et al., VasanthaKalyani C et al., Ms. Mereena et al., RachnaKapoor et al.<sup>[2,10,21,22]</sup>In the current study, 53.3% families were joint families, while 46.7% were nuclearfamilies. A similar observation was made in a study conducted by VasanthaKalyani C et al.<sup>[2]</sup>, Our findings are not in accordance with some studies. <sup>[8,14,22,23]</sup>In the present study, 39.1% infants belonged to an upper-middle class, 23.6% from a middle class, 22.9% from the upper class, and 13.1% from the lower-middleclass. The least number of infants (1.3%) belonged to the lower classaccording to B.G. prasad scale which were similar to the studyconducted previously. <sup>[2, 8,19]</sup>In the present study,1st birth order infants were 55.6%, 2nd birth order infantswere 34.9%. ≥3rd birth order was 9.6%. A similar observation was made in other studies.<sup>[2,8,14,24]</sup>In the present study, the majority of caregivers had already heard aboutimmunizationwhile in studies conducted by Yenit et al and GebreEyesus al, 97% and 80.4% had heard about et immunizationrespectively.<sup>[16,25]</sup>The difference in the findings might be due to the reason thatlatter studies were conducted in the community while the former study wasconducted at an immunization clinic where caregivers came at their own will.In this study, the main source of information and knowledge aboutimmunization was Anganwadi workers 287 (63.7%), followed by doctors 134(29.77%). Similar findings were reported by Rachana Kapoor et al<sup>[21]</sup>where the Anganwadi Workers were the main source of information for the respondents. This might be due to the fact that nowadays most parents got deliveryservices at a health facility with skilled birth attendants where health care workersplay an indispensable role during ANC and PNC period by convincing parents to link the new-born baby to immunization unit to acquire immunization service as well as the intimate relationship between a service provider and the parents at primary health care levels and these health facilities seem to be most readily available and accessible to the people. In this study, 99.8% opined that immunization is necessary. It is higher than the observations made by NighatNisar et alandGebreEyesus et al<sup>[3,16]</sup> where 86.65 and 87.1% of participants said that vaccination is necessary. The probable reason for the difference in findings might be the difference in education status of the parents in both studies. A significant number of caregivers i.e., 310(68.9%) reported that immunization should not be given during mild illness while 25(5.6%) caregivers said that immunization should be given even if the

child is having mild illness, and 115(25.6%) caregivers did not know anything about it. Near similar observations was made in this study conducted by Binai K Sankar et al<sup>[11]</sup>stated that the majority 87.41% of them said they would not vaccinate the child even if the child was already sick. The similar results obtained might be due to similar study settings. In this study, the majority of caregivers (77.8%) knew that immunization prevents morbidity due to certain specific disease while 11.1% knew thatimmunization prevents death due to certain specific disease, rest (11.1%) didn't know about the objective of the immunization program. Similar findings were reported previously. <sup>[1,5,10,16]</sup>This might be because people were more interested in obtaining information about the vaccine and VPDs from health care professionals, social media, friends, and neighbors' to improve their awareness which in turn change parents' perception of the importance of immunization in the care of their infant. In the present study regarding the schedule, dose, route, site of different vaccinesrespectively, results were similar to study conducted by Lamiya KK et al<sup>[10]</sup>, who stated that knowledge regarding individual vaccines, their dosages, and schedule were found to be low. The dissimilar observation was made in this study conducted by Binai K Sankar et al<sup>[11]</sup>stated that among85.31% mothers said that they were aware of the vaccination schedule. Yenitetal<sup>[25]</sup>, stated the knowledge on the schedule of vaccination is poor 30.7%, medium 26%, good 43.3%. The vaccinator generally tells caregivers about the next dose of vaccination but not about the route, dose, and site of the vaccine. This is the probable reason that most caregivers know about the schedule of the vaccination but not about the route, dose, and site of the vaccine. The difference in the findings might be due to the reason that the former study was conducted at an immunization clinic and later studies wereconducted in the community. This could be attributed to the better literacystatus of the urban caregivers.

### CONCLUSION

Immunization is a very cost-effective preventive health intervention. It can prevent many morbidities, mortalities and disabilities. In this study, majority of infants were males, were in age group of 02-04 months, and belonged to general category and Hindu families living in the urban area. Most of the parents were graduates, living in joint families, and belonging to upper middle class. In this study, almost all caregivers were already heard about immunization and knew that immunization was necessary and 77.8% knew that immunization prevent morbidity due to certain specific disease. There is a need to increase awareness and knowledge about the benefits and importance of vaccination, as well as the harmful consequences of partialimmunization. A planned educational programme is needed; the educational level of the parents needs to be taken into consideration when the program is planned.

#### REFERENCES

- Tagbo BN, Uleanya ND, Omotowo IB. Mothers' knowledge and perception of Adverse Events following immunization in Enugu, Southeast Nigeria. J Vaccines Vaccin. 2013;4(202):2.
- Vasanthakalyani C and Xavier Belsiyal C. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Mothers of Under Five Children Regarding Immunization in A Selected Community, Rishikesh, Uttarakhand. Int J Recent Sci Res.2016. 7(5), pp. 11301-11305.
- Nisar N, Mirza M, Qadri MH. Knowledge, Attitude and Practices of mothers regarding immunization of one year old child at Mawatch Goth, Kemari Town, Karachi. Pak J Med Sci.2010 Jan 1;26(1):183-6.
- Priya P K, Pathak VK, Giri AK. Vaccination coverage and vaccine hesitancy among vulnerable population of India. Humanvaccines & immunotherapeutics. 2020 Jul 2;16(7):1502-7.
- Narayanan SS, Jayaraman A, Gopichandran V. Vaccinehesitancy and attitude towards vaccination among parents ofchildren between 1-5 years of age attending a tertiary carehospital in Chennai, India. Indian Journal of Community andFamily Medicine. 2018 Jan 3;4(2):31.
- 6. (http://rchiips.org/nfhs/NFHS-5\_FCTS/India.pdf)
- Road Map for achieving 90% Full Immunization Coverage inIndia A guidance documents for states : Ministry of Health &Family welfare 2019. [online] nhm.gov.in. Available from:<u>https://nhm.gov.in/New Updates 2018/NHM Components/Immunization/Guildelines\_for\_immunization n/Roadmap\_document\_for\_90%25\_FIC.pdf [cited 7 October 2021].
  </u>
- Agarwal AK, Sengar A, Gupta P, Gupta R. A Study of VaccineHesitancy among Mother & Care Provider During MR VaccineCampaign. Natl J Community Med. 2019;10(11):605-11.
- Chakraborty N, Joardar GK. Determinants of delayedvaccination among children under 2 years attending animmunisation clinic of a tertiary care hospital, Kolkata.International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2020Dec;8(12):4324.
- 10. Lamiya KK, Mundodan JM, Haveri SP. Knowledge, attitude andpractice among mothers of under five children onimmunization.
- Sankar BK, Rameh S, Sunny A. A study to assess and correlate the knowledge, attitude and practices of vaccination amongmothers with educational status in a teaching hospital in SouthIndia. Primary Health Care: Open Access. 2018;8(1):1-6.
- Borah M, Baruah R, Baruah KK. A cross sectional study onhealth status of infants in rural areas of Kamrup, Assam. IndianJournal of Community Health. 2015 Mar 31;27(1):161-5.
- 13. Yadav K, Srivastava R, Kumar R, Chinnakal P, Rai SK, Krishnan A.Significant vaccination delay can occur even in a communitywith very high vaccination coverage: evidence from Ballabgarh,India. Journal of tropical pediatrics. 2012 Apr 1;58(2):133-8.
- Dasgupta P, Bhattacherjee S, Mukherjee A, DasguptaS.Vaccine hesitancy for childhood vaccinations in slum areas of Siliguri, India. Indian journal of public health. 2018 Oct1;62(4):253.

- 15. Bofarraj MA. Knowledge, attitude and practices of mothersregarding immunization of infants and preschool children at Al-Beida City, Libya 2008. Egyptian Journal of Pediatric Allergyand Immunology (The). 2011;9(1).
- 16. GebreEyesus FA, Tarekegn TT, Amlak BT, Shiferaw BZ, EmeriaMS, Geleta OT, Mewahegn AA, Feleke DG, Chanie ES.Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Parents AboutImmunization of Infants and Its Associated Factors in WadlaWoreda, North East Ethiopia, 2019. Pediatric Health, Medicineand Therapeutics. 2021;12:223.
- Masters NB, Tefera YA, Wagner AL, Boulton ML. Vaccinehesitancy among caregivers and association with childhoodvaccination timeliness in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Humanvaccines & immunotherapeutics. 2018 Oct 3;14(10):2340-7.
- Mphaka MR, Moshime M, Reddy C. A Cross-Sectional Study OnCaregivers Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices about theRoutine Immunisation Program in Tshwane Sub-District 2,Gauteng, South Africa: 2015. Journal of Environmental Science and Public Health. 2018;2(4):221-31.
- 19. Singh S, Yadav K, Choudhary R. Knowledge of newborn carepractices among caregivers attending immunization clinic at atertiary care centre of Lucknow: a cross-sectional study.
- Datta A, Baidya S, Das S, Mog C, Datta S. Assessment ofmother's knowledge and practices regarding adverse eventsfollowing immunization of their children in a rural area of Tripura. Natl J Community Med. 2017;8:159-63.
- 21. Kapoor R, Vyas S. Awareness and knowledge of mothers of under five children regarding immunization in Ahmedabad.Age. 2010 Jul;21(30):73.
- Mereena SR, Sujatha R. A study on knowledge and attituderegarding vaccines among mothers of under five childrenattending pediatric OPD in a selected hospital at Mangalore.IOSRJNHS. 2014 Sep;3(5):39-46.
- Sikder R, Mukherjee D, Pattanayak U, Majumdar KK, KunduSS,Dey R, Joardar GK. Prevalence of vaccine hesitancy and itsassociated factors in an urban area of West Bengal, India. IntJCommunity Med Public Health. 2020 Sep;7:3443-9.
- 24. Angelillo IF, Ricciardi G, Rossi P, Pantisano P, Langiano E, PaviaM. Mothers and vaccination: knowledge, attitudes, andbehaviour in Italy. Bulletin of the World Health Organization.1999;77(3):224.
- 25. Yenit MK, Gelaw YA, Shiferaw AM. Mothers' health serviceutilization and attitude were the main predictors of incompletechildhood vaccination in east-central Ethiopia: a case-controlstudy. Archives of Public Health. 2018 Dec;76(1):1-9.