ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of incidence of post cholecystectomy syndrome in a tertiary care centre

¹Dr. Shiva Nishant, ²Dr. Amit Mishra, ³Dr. Vaishali Hooda, ⁴Dr. Vijay Anand, ⁵Dr. Ashish Moudgil, ⁶Dr. Ravi Kale

^{1,3}Junior Resident, ²Senior Resident, ^{4,5}Assistant Professor, ⁶Professor, Department of Surgery, School of Medical Sciences & Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author

Dr. Shiva Nishant

Junior Resident, Department of Surgery, School of Medical Sciences & Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 14 February, 2023 Accepted: 20 March, 2023

ABSTRACT

Background: Gall stones are one of the most common disorders of the gastrointestinal tract, affecting about 10% of people in Western society. The present study was conducted to assess incidence of post cholecystectomy syndrome in a tertiary care centre. Materials & Methods: 245 patients of post cholecystectomy syndrome both genders in department of general surgery, Sharda Hospital, School of Medical sciences and Research were selected. The details of surgery were documented; and once discharged, these patients were followed up for 6 months. On follow-up, symptoms, if any, were documented and investigated only if they persisted beyond 1 month of surgery. Results: There were 17.1% males and 82.9% females. At 1st month follow-up, bloating was seen among 1.2%, burping among 0.8%, epigastric pain among 8.2%, indigestion among 1.2%, loss of appetite among 0.4% and nausea and vomiting among 2.4%. At 2nd month follow-up, epigastric pain was seen among 5.3%, indigestion among 1.2%, and nausea and vomiting among 0.8%. At 3rd month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4%, indigestion among 0.8%, and nausea and vomiting among 0.4%. At 4th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4% and indigestion among 0.4%. At 5th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject. At 6th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject. Conclusion: After Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, less than half of patients will experience some symptoms; dyspeptic symptoms are the most frequent and last for quite some months; early signs include biliary and intestinal problems, both of which are temporary. Patients who have experienced acute cholecystitis episodes in the past or who have co-morbid illnesses are more likely to experience prolonged symptoms. Only individuals with persistent symptoms and no clear cause on examination should be classified as PCS since very few patients (less than 1% in the current study) had a discernible cause of symptoms.

Key words: post cholecystectomy syndrome, biliary and intestinal problems, epigastric pain

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

Gall stones are one of the most common disorders of the gastrointestinal tract, affecting about 10% of people in Western society. In most cases they do not cause symptoms, and only 10% and 20% will eventually become symptomatic within 5 years and 20 years of diagnosis. Thus, the average risk of developing symptomatic disease is low, and approaches 2.0-2.6%/years.¹

Patients with symptomatic gall stones may experience intense pain in the upper-right side of the abdomen, often accompanied by nausea and vomiting, that steadily increases for approximately 30 min to several hours. A patient may also experience referred pain between the shoulder blades or below the right shoulder region (Boas' sign). Often, attacks occur

after a particularly fatty meal and almost always happen at night.²

In the past, research has been conducted along several avenues to develop less invasive, painful, and expensive methods of gall stone treatment. Such methods as the application of oral desaturation agents (chenodeoxycholic acid, ursodeoxycholic acid), contact dissolution agents methyl tertbutylene ether (MTBE), and extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy are limited by stone content, size, and number.³ In addition, they leave intact a gallbladder already known to harbour lithogenic bile. Thus, these nonoperative methods are inadequate for a large proportion of gall stone patients and they cannot promise permanent cure from gall stone disease.⁴

Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy by Erich Muhe in 1986, it has rapidly gained popularity and is now considered the treatment of choice for symptomatic gallstone disease. Its advantages over open cholecystectomy include reduced postoperative hospitalisation, reduced pain and morbidity, better cosmesis, early return to work and considerable financial savings. The present study was conducted to assess incidence of post cholecystectomy syndrome in a tertiary care centre.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study consisted of 245 patients of post cholecystectomy syndrome both genders in

department of general surgery, Sharda Hospital, School of Medical sciences and Research. All gave their written consent to participate in the study.

Data such as name, age, gender etc. was recorded. Complete Blood Count, liver Function Test, amylase, lipase, USG whole abdomen was performed. Standard four-port LC was performed under general anaesthesia in all patients. The details of surgery were documented; and once discharged, these patients were followed up for 6 months. On follow-up, symptoms, if any, were documented and investigated only if they persisted beyond 1 month of surgery. Data thus obtained were subjected to statistical analysis. P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Table I Distribution of study population according to age groups

| Age groups | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| 15-30 years | 75 | 30.6% |
| 31-40 years | 67 | 27.3% |
| 41-50 years | 51 | 20.8% |
| 51-60 years | 30 | 12.2% |
| Above 60 years | 22 | 9.0% |
| Total | 245 | 100.0% |

Table I shows that majority of the subjects belonged to age group 15-30 years (30.6%) followed by 31-40 years (27.3%), 41-50 years (20.8%), 51-60 years (12.2%) and above 60 years (9.0%).

Table II Distribution of study population according to sex

| Sex | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Male | 42 | 17.1% |
| Female | 203 | 82.9% |
| Total | 245 | 100.0 |

Table II shows that there were 17.1% males and 82.9% females.

Table III Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 1st month

| 1st month post operative | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 210 | 85.7% |
| Bloating | 3 | 1.2% |
| Burping | 2 | 0.8% |
| Epigastric pain | 20 | 8.2% |
| Indigestion | 3 | 1.2% |
| Loss of appetite | 1 | 0.4% |
| Nausea and vomiting | 6 | 2.4% |

Table III shows that at 1st month follow-up, bloating was seen among 1.2%, burping among 0.8%, epigastric pain among 8.2%, indigestion among 1.2%, loss of appetite among 0.4% and nausea and vomiting among 2.4%.

Table IV Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 2nd month

| 2nd month post operative | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 227 | 92.7% |
| Epigastric pain | 13 | 5.3% |
| Indigestion | 3 | 1.2% |
| Nausea and vomiting | 2 | 0.8% |

Table IV shows that at 2nd month follow-up, epigastric pain was seen among 5.3%, indigestion among 1.2%, and nausea and vomiting among 0.8%

Table V Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 3rd month

| 3rd month post operative | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 241 | 98.4% |
| Epigastric pain | 1 | 0.4% |

| Indigestion | 2 | 0.8% |
|---------------------|---|------|
| Nausea and vomiting | 1 | 0.4% |

Table V at 3^{rd} month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4%, indigestion among 0.8%, and nausea and vomiting among 0.4%.

Table VI Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 4th month

| 4th month | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 243 | 99.2% |
| Epigastric pain | 1 | 0.4% |
| Indigestion | 1 | 0.4% |

Table VI shows that at 4th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4% and indigestion among 0.4%.

Table VII Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 5th month

| 5th month | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 244 | 99.6% |
| Epigastric pain | 1 | 0.4% |
| Total | 245 | 100.0 |

Table VII shows that at 5th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject.

Table VIII Distribution of study population according to PCS symptoms in 6th month

| 6th month | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Asymptomatic | 244 | 99.6% |
| Epigastric pain | 1 | 0.4% |
| Total | 245 | 100.0 |

Table VIII shows that at 6th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject.

DISCUSSION

A cholecystectomy surgery itself is linked to a number of physiological alterations in the digestive system. After a cholecystectomy, hormonal changes that predominantly affect the upper digestive system also become apparent.⁶ Additionally, there is a disruption of the cholecysto-sphincter of Oddi reflex leading to cholecysto-antral reflux & cholecysto-oesophageal reflux. As a result, the prevalence of gastritis, duodenogastric reflux, and gastro-esophageal reflux has increased, which might perhaps be the cause of the symptoms in PCS.Therefore, it should not come as a surprise if symptoms continue to exist or develop following gallbladder removal.⁷

All symptoms following LC are referred to collectively as "post cholecystectomy syndrome." "Post cholecystectomy syndrome" may be caused by a variety of aetiologies needing various therapies, hence this broad word is insufficient as a diagnostic. Furthermore, some symptoms have nothing to do with LC at all. The underlying aetiology of symptoms should be investigated in order to determine the source of long-term problems following LC and choose the best treatment to reduce symptoms. The present study was conducted to assess incidence of post cholecystectomy syndrome in a tertiary care centre.

We found that majority of the subjects belonged to age group 15-30 years (30.6%) followed by 31-40 years (27.3%), 41-50 years (20.8%), 51-60 years (12.2%) and above 60 years (9.0%). There were 17.1% males and 82.9% females. Jaunoo et al¹⁰ did a review on post cholecystectomy syndrome (PCS) which

comprises a heterogeneous group of symptoms and findings in patients who have previously undergone cholecystectomy. Although rare, these patients may present with abdominal pain, jaundice or dyspeptic symptoms. Many of these complaints can be attributed to complications including bile duct injury, biliary leak, biliary fistula and retained bile duct stones. Late sequelae include recurrent bile duct stones and bile duct strictures.

We found that at 1st month follow-up, bloating was seen among 1.2%, Burping among 0.8%, Epigastric pain among 8.2%, indigestion among 1.2%, Loss of appetite among 0.4% and Nausea and Vomiting among 2.4%. Farahmandfar et al¹¹ conducted a review of the prevalence, aetiology, predisposing factors and management of post cholecystectomy diarrhoea from the past study reports. The prevalence of PCD was 9.1% with no significant difference between genders. The prevalence of bile acid malabsorption (BAM), the most important suggested aetiological factor, was seen in 65.5% patients with PCD. There was 92% patients with PCD responded to cholestyramine therapy. The cure rate for cholecystectomy on preoperative cholegenic diarrhoea was 54.5%. The prevalence of post-cholecystectomy new onset constipation was 7.9%. The study had conclusion that BAM prevalence was only 65.5%, however 92% of PCD patients responded to cholestyramine therapy suggesting that cholestyramine therapy could also have curative effect on other unknown aetiological factors related to bile metabolism.

We found that at 2nd month follow-up, epigastric pain was seen among 5.3%, indigestion among 1.2%, and

nausea and vomiting among 0.8%. We found that at 3rd month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4%, indigestion among 0.8%, and nausea and vomiting among 0.4%. We found that at 4th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 0.4% and indigestion among 0.4%. We found that at 5th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject. We found that at 6th month follow-up, epigastric pain among 1 (0.4%) subject.Bansal et al¹²compared the prevalence of post cholecystectomy symptoms (PCSs) between open and laparoscopic cholecystectomy and to find out and investigate the cause of PCSs. Preoperative and postoperative symptoms of 50 Patients (37 females), aged 20 to 79 years, who underwent open cholecystectomy, were prospectively compared questionnaire with those of 50 patients (39 females) aged 16 to 75 years, who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Patients were followed up for an average of 11 to 12 months. There was no statistically significant difference in prevalence of post cholecystectomy symptoms after cholecystectomy compared with laparoscopic cholecystectomy (26% v/s 14%, P = 0.134). The study concluded that there was no significant difference in the prevalence of post-cholecystectomy symptoms after open cholecystectomy compared laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

The limitation the study is small sample size.

CONCLUSION

Authors found that after Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, less than half of patients will experience some symptoms; dyspeptic symptoms are the most frequent and last for quite some months; early signs include biliary and intestinal problems, both of which are temporary. Patients who have experienced acute cholecystitis episodes in the past or who have co-morbid illnesses are more likely to experience prolonged symptoms. Only individuals with persistent symptoms and no clear cause on examination should be classified as PCS since very few patients (less than 1% in the current study) had a discernible cause of symptoms.

REFERENCES

- Sakai Y, Tsuyuguchi T, Ishihara T, Sugiyama H, Miyakawa K, Yukisawa S, Yasui S, Eto R, Fujimoto T, Kaiho T, Miyazaki M, Yokosuka O. The usefulness of endoscopic transpapillary procedure in postcholecystectomy bile duct stricture and postcholecystectomy bile leakage. Hepatogastroenterology. 2009;56(93):978-83.
- Phillips MR, Joseph M, Dellon ES, Grimm I, Farrell TM, Rupp CC. Surgical and endoscopic management of remnant cystic duct lithiasis after cholecystectomya case series. J. Gastrointest. Surg. 2014;18(7):1278-83.
- Peterli R, Schuppisser J, Herzog U, et al. Prevalence of Postcholecystectomy Symptoms: Long-term Outcome after Open versus Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. World J. Surg. 2000;24:1232-5.
- Terhaar OA, Abbas S, Thornton FJ, Duke D, O'Kelly P, Abdullah K, et al. Imaging patients with "postcholecystectomy syndrome": an algorithmic approach. Clinical Radiology. 2005;60(1):78-84.
- Kumar D, Ahmed A, Kumar R. Study of post cholecystectomy biliary leakage and its management. *International Journal of Current* Research. 2017;9(2):46691-707.
- Galbfach PJ, Kołacińska MB, Flont PA, Spychalski MI, Narbutt PG, Mik ML et al. Gastric complaints or postcholecystectomy syndrome?. *Pol MerkurLekarski*. 2008:25(147):221-5.
- Topazian M, JoAnn HC, Jiayi L, Carolyn W. Improved Predictors of Outcome in Postcholecystectomy. Pain, Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology. 2004;38(8):692-6
- 8. Horwood J, Akbar F, Davis K, Morgan R. Prospective evaluation of a selective approach to cholangiography for suspected common bile duct stones. *Ann R CollSurg Engl.* 2010;92(3):206-10.
- Graves HA Jr, Ballinger JF, Anderson WJ. Appraisal of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Surg. 1991;213(6):655-664.
- Jaunoo SS, Mohandas S, Almond LM. Postcholecystectomy syndrome (PCS). Int J Surg 2010;8:15-7.
- 11. Farahmandfar MR, Chabok M, Alade M, Bouhelal A, Patel B. Post Cholecystectomy Diarrhoea-A Systematic Review. Surgical Science. 2012;3:332-8.
- Bansal S, Jain S, Daga RN, Vyas KC. Prevalence of Postcholecystectomy Symptoms: Long Term Outcome after Open versus Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. World Journal of Surgical Research. 2014;9.