# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# To evaluate the effect of denture cleanser on sorption and solubility of different of t liners and tissue conditioners-An in vitro study

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Bhuwaneshwer Pandey, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Ankita Pandey, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Akant Pandey, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Arun Kumar Pandey, <sup>5</sup>Dr. Shalini Pandey

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Nootan Medical College and Research Centre, Visnagar, Gujarat, India

<sup>2</sup>Consultant Dental Surgeon, <sup>3</sup>Consultant Physician, <sup>4</sup>Consultant Surgeon, <sup>5</sup>Consultant Psychiatrist, Good will Hospital, Aamghat Coloney, Ghazipur, UP. India

# Correspondingauthor

Dr. Bhuwaneshwer Pandey

Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Nootan Medical College and Research Centre, Visnagar, Gujarat, India

Received: 09 August, 2022

Accepted: 13 September, 2022

## ABSTRACT

Introduction: The success of removable complete or partial denture depends on esthetics, comfort, and function. In anedentulous individual with a complete or a partial denture prosthesis the masticatory load and functional stresses aretransmitted to the bone through mucoperiosteum. These functional stresses lead to chronic soreness, pathologic changes tooral tissues, and subsequent bone loss resulting in loss of accurate adaptation of the denture to the underlying tissues. Softliner materials, when used with ill fitting dentures, are constantly kept in a wet environment of either saliva or denturecleanser that affects their sorption and solubility. These in turn have detrimental effect on other properties. Aim: To evaluate the effect of denture cleanser on sorption and solubility of different soft liners and tissue conditioners. Materials andmethods: Metal disc was fabricated to make the mould space for soft liner samples. Four materials were used, long term andshort term acrylic liners; long term and short term silicone liners. Each of these were divided into four groups: first controlgroup- all liners were kept in artificial saliva for entire period of study.Second group- liners were immersed daily incleanser for 2 hour and then transferred to artificial saliva for rest of the day. Similarly samples of third and fourth groupswere immersed in cleanser for 6 and 10 hours respectively and transferred to artificial saliva. Sorption and solubility testswere conducted and statistical analysis done. Results: Solubility values of all groups were higher than the quoted ADAspecifications. Conclusion: Overall, silicones performed better than acrylics. Long term silicone was most stable. Short termacrylicwasmostunstable. The 10 hourimmersion indenture cleanser caused significantly high sorption and solubility. Keywords: Artificial saliva, soft denture liners, solubility, waters or prior the second se

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

A resilient liner is a viscoelastic material forming allor part of the impression surface of a denture that actsasacushionandreducesthemasticatoryforcestransmit tedbytheprosthesestotheunderlyingtissues. The friability of the supporting mucosa andthesignificantlyhigherstiffnessofacrylicresindenture bases can result in tissue trauma due to forceconcentration or misfit of the denture base. Resilientlinershelpalleviatethepossibilityofdiscomforta rising from such denture base force transfer to oralmucosa.Soft denture liners are valuable when treatingpatients with ridge atrophy, bony under cuts, xerost

omia, bruxingtendencies, congenital or acquired or ald efec tsrequiringobturation, and for dentures opposing natural dentition. In addition. softliners are used to modify transitional prostheses after Stage I and II implant surgery. Soft liner materials, when used with ill fitting dentures, are constantly kept in a wet environment of either saliva or denture cleanser thataffects their sorption and solubility. These inturnhaved etrimentaleffectonotherproperties.Softdenture liners indispensable are inmodernremovableprosthodonticsbecauseoftheirkey in restoring health to inflamed and role distorteddenture supporting tissues. They act as

# cushion

fordenturebearingmucosathroughabsorptionandredistri butionofforcestransmittedtoedentulousridges. Some of properties the desired of these softlinersarehighbondstrengthtodenturebase, dimension al stability, resilience, low water sorptionand solubility, good colour stability, relative ease ofprocessing and biocompatibility.During usage, therheological properties of these liners deterior at eand als subjectedto o,they are colonizationby candidaalbicans.Therefore,effectiveplaquecontrolisnec essaryforclinicaluseofthesematerials. Although chemica lcleansersareconsideredefficacious to prevent microbial colonization, dailyuse can affect the physical properties of the liners.Twocommonproblemsarewatersorptionandsolub ility associated with changes in the structure andproperties of thesoftliners.

# AIM

Hence, the aim of the study was to evaluate the effectof denture cleanser on sorption and solubility of softlinersandtissueconditionersoffoursoftliners.

# MATERIALS ANDMETHODS

Thepresentinvitrostudy

wasdoneaccordingtoAmericanDentalAssociation(ADA) specificationNo.12. A standard stainless steel metal disc was used to prepare test and control samples.Dimensions of the disc were as per ADA 12. Artificial saliva wasprepared according to a previous study. The

denturecleansersolutionwaspreparedaccordingtomanuf acturer's recommendation with 5 mg of Clinsodent

powder in 300 ml water; composition -Sodium

perborate + Sodium lauryl sulphate; pH- 11.Metal discs were invested in dental flasks to preparemould for soft liner samples. All space the sampleswereprocessedaccordingtothemanufacturer'sre commendations.Fourmaterialgroupswereused,long term and short term acrylic liners (Group A andGroup B) and long term and short term silicone liners(Group C and group D). A total of 80 specimens wereprepared,20foreachgroup.Allsamplesweredesiccat ed for 24 hours using silica crystals to get astable orconditioned weight W1. Eachgroupwasthenfurtherdividedintofourgroups(20con trolspecimens + 60 test specimens): First control group-(20 specimens each for Groups A1, B1, C1, D1) linersampleswereimmersedinartificialsalivainathermos taticallycontrolledwaterbathat370+10Cfor

7dayscontinuously.Secondgroup(20testspecimens each for Groups A2, B2, C2, D2) - linerswere immersed daily in cleanser for 2 hour and thentransferred to artificial saliva for rest 23 hours of theday.Similarly samples of third (20 testspecimenseach for A3, B3, C3, D3) and fourth (20 specimenseach for A4, B4, C4, D4) groups were immersed incleanserfor6and10hoursrespectivelyandtransferredto artificialsalivafortherest24hoursand

20hoursofthedayrespectively.Solutionsofartificial saliva and denture cleanserwere changeddaily for the period entire of study, that is 7 days.Later,allcontrolandtestsampleswereremovedfrom saliva, wiped dry, weighed for saturated weightW2.Theyweredesiccatedagainfor24hrsandweigh ed for reconditioned weight W3. An electronicweighingmachinewithanaccuracyuptothreedecimalpoints was usedtoweighthesamples.

# Table1:Formulasforsorption and solubility

	SorptionandsolubilityformulagivenbyADAspecification		
1.	FormulaforSorption-W2-W1 (mg)/surfaceareaofdisc		
2.	FormulaforSolubility- W1-W3 (mg)/surfaceareaofdisc		
3.	Surfaceareaofdisc $-2\pi r(h+r)=2x3.14x2.5(0.1+2.5)-40.82cm^2$		

# RESULTS

The mean results were interpreted. Both the sorption and solubility values for the long term acrylic increased with duration of immersion in cleanser, but only the solubility value of 10 hour cleanser immersion was significant compared to control. Means or prior and solubility of long term acrylic liner (mg/c m<sup>2</sup>).

Table2:Fourgroupswit	hmediumanddurationof animmersion

	Mediumofimmersion	Durationofimmersion			
GROUPA	Saliva	Entireperiod			
GROUPB	Cleanser	2hour	P<0.05		
GROUPC	Cleanser	6hour			
GROUPD	Cleanser	10hour			

#### DISCUSSION

The international organization for standardization categor izes soft liners as short term liners (maximumusage 30 days) and long term liners (usage > 30 days, one year/longer). Sorption is a combination of a dosorpt ion and absorption. According to the  $revised ADA specification 12 for denture basepolymers So rption: Increase in weight of polymer shall not be more than 0.8 mg/cm^2$ 

ofsurfaceareaafterimmersionindistilledwaterforsevend aysat37+1°C. Solubility: Loss of weight of polymer shallnotbemorethan0.04mg/cm<sup>2</sup>ofsurfacewhentestedac

cording to the specification. According to ADA 17for tissue conditioners - Water solubility and sorptionshall be less than  $0.07 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  and  $0.7 \text{mg/cm}^2$ respectivelywhenstoredfor24hoursat37°C.KazanjiMN MandWatkinsonACdemonstratedpercentagesolubility oflinersinartificialsalivawassignificantlyhigherthanindi stilledwater.However,percentagesorptionofartificialsali vawassignificantlylowerthanindistilled water. The weight loss was related to thegreatersolubilityofplasticisersinmoreionicsolutionst haninwater.Theloweruptakeorsorptioninartificial saliva was explained in terms of ionicimpurities.Contactangleandwettability

of salivaalso affect the sorption. This wettability of saliva isrequired as an adequate lubricating layer formed

overthesurfaceofliners. This differing behaviour inartifici alsalivashouldbeconsideredwhentestingmaterials, since theresultsforartificialsalivaaremore likely to be clinically significant than those fordistilledwater.Objectivesofthisstudyweretoevaluate effectofdifferentexposuretimes(timedependenteffect)of acommonlyuseddenturecleanser on sorption and solubility of four soft liners.Itwasattemptedtoconductthestudyundersimulate d mouth conditions by using artificial salivaat 37°C+1°C. Overall silicone the liners performedbetterthantheacrylicliners. This resultisin conf irmation with the study by Nikawaetal. The long term silicone linerwas most stable interms ofits sorption and solubility values and the short termacrylic was most unstable with high values of sorptionand solubility. The reasonsforthebetterbehaviour of silicones acrylics over could he becauseoftheirbetterpolymerization.cross-

linking,lowplasticisercontent and more fillercontent. HashemMImentionedinhisupdateonadvancesinsoftdent ure liners, that the heat polymerised silicones hadbetterbondingtofillerandgreatercross-

linkingleading to fewer micro-pockets for water. Brozek Rand others and Pahuja RK and co-workers studied

theeffectsofdenturecleansersonthebehaviourandpropert ies of different soft lining materials and foundthatthesiliconelinersperformedbetterthantheacryli cliners.

Analysisoflongtermdataonwatersorptionbyacrylicliners iscomplicatedbygraduallossofplasticiser. Plasticisers decrease the amount of wateruptake. This is attributed to hydrophobic nature of theplasticisers and ability to fill micro-voids in the resin.Rate at which these materials absorbed water or lostsoluble components varied with the type of material, amount of plasticisers and the solution of immersion. In this study, the long term acrylic liner demonstratedlower 10 hour sorption compared to that of silicones.However,theacryliclinersshowedincreasedsol ubility owing to leaching out of the components. The composition of liner, the gelation process, pH ofcleanser and time duration of exposure of liners

tocleanser, all influence the physical properties of theseelastomers.

The 10 hour immersion of 3 liners (long term acrylic, bothsiliconeliners) in the clean sercaused significantly higher solubility compared to control hence

immersion in the denture cleanser should bedone for a shorter period. In case of short term acrylicliner solubility was high but insignificant with respectto time. Therefore, the effect of saliva may be moresignificant than cleanser. As stated by Garcia et al., higherionic concentration of the denture cleanser soluti on compared to water led to a higher release of soluble components. These findings are in agreement wit h that of Goll et al. Results of this study showed that 1 week solubility values of all groups were muchabove the ADA specifications. Solubility is expected to be more in more ionic solutions than in distilledwater. The 1 week sorption and solubility values inprevious studies were also found to be higher than thequoted ADA specifications. Mansoor NS conducted astudy on the effect of denture cleansers on sorptionandsolubilityofsoftdentureliningmaterialsimm ersed in different time intervals, where he useddistilled alkaline denture water. an cleanser and citricacidcleanser.Heconcludedthatthegeneraldeteriorat ion of the liner material increased with timeofexposuretothecleansers. The standard values given by the specifications in distilled watercannotbe applied to these elastomeric materials which arecontinuously the bathed in saliva, as ionic nature ofsalivaincreasesthesolubility.

# CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the Solubility values of all groupswerehigherthanthequotedADAspecifications.Ov erall, siliconesperformedbetter than acrylics. Long termsil iconewasmoststable.Shorttermacrylicwasmostunstable .The10hourcleanserimmersion resulted in significantly high sorption and solubility. Hence, study suggests immersion indenture shorter cleanser fora period. The processesofsorptionandsolubilityarecontinuousandmat erialsundergochangesintheirphysicalproperties and deteriorate over time. Thus, selectionofadenturecleanserandthetimedurationforclea nsing should be carefully established to minimizechanges intheproperties of resilient materials.

#### REFERENCES

- HadaryAE,DrummondJL.Comparativestudyofwater sorption, solubility, tensile bond strength of twosoftliningmaterials.JProsthetDent.2000;83:356– 61.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- 3. Craig R, Powers JM. Restorative dentalmaterials. 11thed.India:Mosby;2001. [GoogleScholar]
- Nikawa H, Iwanaga H, Hamada T, Yuhta S. Effects ofdenturecleansersondirectsoftdentureliningmaterials.J ProsthetDent.1994;72:657–

62.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]

- Councilondentalmaterialsanddevices.RevisedAmerican dental association specification No.12 fordenturebase polymers. J Am DentAssoc.1975;90:451– 58.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- GarciaRCMR,LeonBLTL,OliveriaVMB,CuryAADB. Effect of a denture cleanser on weight, surfaceroughness, and tensile bond strength of two resilientdentureliners.JProsthetDent.2003;89:489– 94.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Nikawa H, Yamamoto T, Hamada T, Rahardjo MB,MurataH.Commercialdenturedentureliningmaterial s.IntJ Prosthodont.1995;8:434– 44.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Kazanji MNM, Watkinson AC. Soft lining materials:theirabsorptionofandsolubilityin,artificialsaliv a. Br Dent J. 1988;165:91–94. [PubMed] [GoogleScholar]
- Wright PS. Composition and properties of soft liningmaterials for acrylic dentures. Journal ofDentistry.1981;9:210–23.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Nikawa CJH, Makihira S,Hamada J, Furukawa M,Murata H. Changes in surface roughness and colourstabilityofsoftdentureliningmaterialscausedbyden turecleansers. JOralRehabilitation. 2003;30:125– 30.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- HashemMI.AdvancesinSoftDentureliners:Anupdate.Jou rnal of Contemporary DentalPractice. 2015;16(4):314– 18.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Brozek R, Koczorowski R, Rogalewicz R, Voelkel A,CzarneckaB,NicholsonJW.Effectofdenturecleanserso nchemicalandmechanicalbehaviorofselected soft lining materials.DentMater. 2011;27(3):281– 90. [PubMed] [GoogleScholar]
- Pahuja RK, Garg S, Bansal S, Dang RH. Effect ofdenturecleansersonsurfacehardnessofresilientdentureli nersatvarioustimeintervals-aninvitrostudy. JAdvProsthodont. 2013;5(3):270–77. [PMCfreearticle][PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- KalachandraS, TurnerDT. Watersorptionofplasticizedden tureacrylicliningmaterials. DentMaterJ.1989;5:161–64. [PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- HarrisonA,BaskerRM,SmithIS.Thecompatibilityof temporary soft materials with immersion denturecleaners.IntJ Prosthodont.1989;2:254– 58.[PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Kawano F, Dootz ER, Koran A, Craig RG. Sorptionandsolubilityof12softdentureliners. JProsthetDent.1994;72:393–98. [PubMed][GoogleScholar]
- Mansoor NS. Effect of denture cleansers on sorptionandsolubilityofsoftdentureliningmaterialsimmer sedindifferenttimeInterval. Med JBabylon.2014;11(2):465–75.[GoogleScholar]