

## Original Research

# Mental Health of Pregnant females during COVID-19 Pandemic in Lucknow district

Dr. Shadma Anwar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Ruby Khatoun<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Tarique Hussain Khan<sup>3</sup> Dr. M. Aleem Siddiqui<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Sharique Ahmad<sup>5</sup>, Dr. Zeashan H. Zaidi<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University Lucknow, India

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India

<sup>4</sup>Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University Lucknow, India

<sup>5</sup>Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University Lucknow, India

<sup>6</sup>Lecturer, Department of Community Medicine, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India

### Corresponding Author

Dr. Sharique Ahmad

Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College & Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India

Email- [Diagnopath@gmail.com](mailto:Diagnopath@gmail.com)

Revised date: 17 December, 2023

Acceptance date: 31 January, 2024

### Abstract

**Background:** Between 10% and 25% of expectant women have anxiety and/or depressive symptoms. Increased preterm birth, postpartum depression, and behavioural issues in children are all linked to elevated symptoms of anxiety and depression. The current COVID-19 pandemic is an unique stressor that could have broad implications for pregnancy and beyond. Depression and anxiety raise the likelihood of preterm birth, delay the mother-fetus relationship, and slow down the newborn's cognitive development. In order to manage the COVID-19 pandemic effectively, this should be kept in mind. The DASS-21 is a shorter version of the DASS-42 that consists of 21 items and three stress, depression, and anxiety subscales (7 questions for each subscale). Each question obtains a score ranging from not at all (0) to extremely high (3). **Aim:** To evaluate mental health issues in pregnant females during COVID-19 pandemic in Lucknow district. **Objectives:** 1. To evaluate mental health issues in pregnant females during COVID-19 pandemic 2. To assess the stress, anxiety and depression in pregnant females during the pandemic. 3. To assess the factors associated with mental issues in pregnant females. **Materials & Method:** A total of 220 pregnant females residing in the urban and rural areas of Lucknow district constitute the study unit. Multi stage random sampling was used. A pre designed and pretested questionnaire using DASS 21 was used to collect data. Data was analyzed and tabulated using SPSS-20.0 version software. **Results & Conclusions:** During COVID-19 pandemic, very poor mental health was observed among pregnant women. Among them very severe depression, anxiety and stress was found in 47%, 70% and 29% cases respectively while severe level of depression, anxiety and stress was found in 17%, 7% and 25% cases respectively. Significant association of depression was found with place ( $p=0.034$ ), age at marriage ( $p=0.029$ ), no of living children ( $p=0.029$ ), education of RDW ( $p=0.004$ ), education of husband ( $p=0.004$ ), marital life satisfaction ( $p<0.001$ ) and spouse support ( $p<0.001$ ). Significant association of anxiety was found with marital life satisfaction ( $p<0.001$ ). Significant association of stress was found with no of living children ( $p=0.025$ ), education of husband ( $p=0.039$ ), marital life satisfaction ( $p<0.001$ ) and spouse support ( $p=0.002$ ).

**Keyword:** Mental health, Pregnant females, COVID-19, anxiety, Depression, Stress

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

### Introduction

Around 10 to 25 percent of expectant women experience anxiety and depressive symptoms. Preterm birth, postpartum depression, and behavioural issues in children are all linked to higher risk of depression

and anxiety symptoms. The current COVID-19 pandemic is an unique stressor that could have significant effects on pregnancy and other stages of life.[1] The 2019 new coronavirus (COVID-19), which was originally identified in December 2019,

has been rapidly spreading over the world. This virus has severe health effects, including death, overburdened healthcare systems, and instability in the economy. The social and psychological effects can both be dreadful. Schools and day-care centres all throughout the world have been closed, and people have been physically cut off from their families, friends, and communities. Understanding how the COVID-19 pandemic affects mental health is urgently needed in order to prevent the development of severe mental disorder as a secondary effect.[2] Pregnant women may be one group that is much more at risk during a virus outbreak. With up to 22% of women experiencing significant levels of anxiety in late pregnancy and 12% of women suffering depression, mental health disorders are a common cause of morbidity during pregnancy.[3] This study sought to understand how the COVID-19 pandemic affected the anxiety of pregnant women as well as to highlight the elements that were most significantly linked to a greater increase in anxiety. An increased chance of preterm birth, a delayed maternal-fetal attachment, and a delayed cognitive development in the baby are all linked by depression and anxiety. It is important to keep this in mind when controlling the COVID-19 epidemic. Recent studies show that COVID-19 affected several pregnant women and infants, although there is insufficient proof of vertical transmission.[4] According to various studies, depression and anxiety during pregnancy have been estimated to be around 10%, which is significant depending on the circumstances and home environment, especially in the second and third trimesters. It was also significant in women who had a history of depression. Women who are depressed have poor physical health and a poor quality of life.[5] When it comes to altering behavior, beliefs, and attitudes, mental health care must be comprehensive and address the community as a whole. Patients with psychiatric conditions should be the focus of specific management and preventative measures. It's important to address problems like stigma and the segregationist effects it has. One of the pillars of resilience in a society that will face a dizzying array of obstacles as a result of this worldwide pandemic should be the mental health agenda, which is still vital and essential.[6] . It is remarkable that connections between experiences linked to COVID-19 and common mental illnesses in pregnant women are still unknown. People's daily lives are made more stressful, anxious, and depressing by social distance restrictions that make it difficult for them to communicate with friends, family, and other people. Because they must take care of other children and family members, pregnant mothers also confront some unique difficulties. On the other hand, this population is at a higher risk of contracting viruses due to the requirement for routine maternity care.[7] It is remarkable that connections between experiences

linked to COVID-19 and common mental illnesses in pregnant women are still unknown.

### **Aim and objectives**

**Aim:** To evaluate mental health issues in pregnant females during COVID19 pandemic in Lucknow district.

### **Objectives**

- 1) To evaluate mental health issues in pregnant females during COVID-19 pandemic
- 2) To assess the stress, anxiety and depression in pregnant females during the pandemic
- 3) To assess the factors associated with mental issues in pregnant females

### **Material and methods**

**Study design and the participants:** This cross-sectional study was conducted after obtaining an ethical clearance from the Institutional ethics committee on 220 women who were pregnant during Covid 19. The criteria for entering the study included all females who were pregnant during the pandemic irrespective of their pregnancy outcome. The exclusion criteria were those subjects who are not willing to participate in the study.

**Setting:** The study was conducted in the Rural and Urban areas of Lucknow district for total study duration of 6 months.

**Sampling:** A simple random sampling technique was used to identify the pregnant females.

**Sample size:** Sample size was estimated as 220 pregnant females on the basis of proportion of depression among pregnant women during the outbreak of COVID-19. Where  $p = 32.7\%$  proportion of depression among pregnant women during the outbreak of COVID-19 (Ref. FatemahDaryani et al.) [6].

**Study Unit:** All females who are pregnant during the COVID-19 pandemic

### **Inclusion Criteria**

1. All females who were pregnant during the pandemic irrespective of their pregnancy outcome.
2. Females who were/are pregnant during COVID-19 pandemic including first, second and third wave of COVID-19.

### **Exclusion Criteria**

1. Females who are not willing to participate in the study or not giving consent.
2. Females who are not a resident of Lucknow.

### **Data collection tools**

The information was collected from each participant by filling the socio-demographic and obstetrics characteristics on pretested structured questionnaire and the DASS-21 (Depression, Anxiety and Stress

Scale-21) The socio-demographic characteristics questionnaire included questions on age, level of education, job, spouse's age, level of education and job, sufficiency of monthly income for living expenses and obstetrics questions included the number of pregnancies, gestational age, etc. The DASS-21 is a shortened version of DASS-42 and includes 21 questions and 3 subscales of stress, depression, and anxiety (7 questions for each subscale). The score for each question is a score from not at all (0) to very high (3). The score is calculated for each scale separately and the overall score is not calculated. The minimum score for each subscale is zero and the maximum is 21, and a higher score indicates a worse situation [8]. The DASS21 questionnaire is commonly used in the pregnant population, due to the limited number of questions and simple sentences with simultaneous assessment of stress, anxiety and depression [9].

#### Data Collection Procedure

The respondents were briefed about the survey in the local language. The questionnaire used will be stated

clearly to the participants that the information will be used only for scientific purposes and the participants will sign a consent form. Information from subjects will be collected by using the interview technique. Scales used: DASS-21 will be used to assess the anxiety issues.

#### Statistical analysis

Data entry and analysis was done using the SPSS-20 version of software. The distribution was mentioned in the terms of frequency and percentage. Chi-square test was applied for testing the association of multiple factors with Depression, Anxiety and Stress. P-value <0.05 was taken as significant.

#### Results

The study was conducted to find mental health issues in pregnant females during the Covid-19 pandemic and factors associated. A total of 220 pregnant females who fall in the inclusion criteria were randomly selected and interviewed after obtaining consent.

**Table 1: Distribution of RDW according to their socio-demographic characteristics (n=220):**

| Socio-demographic Characteristics |         | No. | %    |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-----|------|
| Setting                           | Urban   | 154 | 70.0 |
|                                   | Rural   | 66  | 30.0 |
| type of family                    | Nuclear | 127 | 57.7 |
|                                   | Joint   | 93  | 42.3 |
| Religion                          | Hindu   | 46  | 20.9 |
|                                   | Muslim  | 174 | 79.1 |
| Social class                      | class 1 | 40  | 18.2 |
|                                   | class 2 | 79  | 35.9 |
|                                   | class 3 | 49  | 22.3 |
|                                   | class 4 | 32  | 14.5 |
|                                   | class 5 | 20  | 9.1  |
| age at marriage                   | <18     | 58  | 26.4 |
|                                   | 18-30   | 160 | 72.7 |
|                                   | 30-40   | 2   | 0.9  |
| no. of living children            | 0       | 9   | 4.1  |
|                                   | 1       | 96  | 43.6 |
|                                   | 2       | 49  | 22.3 |
|                                   | =/>3    | 66  | 30.0 |

We found in our study that out of 220 females interviewed 154 (70%) participants were from urban areas and 66 (30%) participants belong to the rural areas. 93 (42.3%) participants were living in joint families whereas 127 (57.7%) participants had nuclear families. In present study, 46 (20.9%) participants were from Hindu community and 174 (79.1%) females belong to the Muslim community. Majority of the participants (35.9%) were from class-II followed by class-III (22.3%), class-I (18.2%), class-IV (14.5%) and class-V (9.1%) according to Modified

B.G. Prasad Socioeconomic classification (2021). Most of the participants (72.7%) were married between the ages of 18-30 years, 0.9% participants were married between the ages of 30-40 years. Sadly 26.4% participants told that they were married before the age of 18 years. The results showed that 96 (43.6%) participants had single child, 66 (30%) participants had 3 or more children whereas 49 (22.3%) participants had 2 children and only 9 (4.1%) females had no child and they were primigravidae.

**Table 2: Distribution of RDW according to occupation and educational status:**

| Characteristics       |                               | No. | %    |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----|------|
| education of RDW      | Illiterate                    | 61  | 27.7 |
|                       | just literate                 | 54  | 24.5 |
|                       | Primary                       | 18  | 8.2  |
|                       | Middle                        | 18  | 8.2  |
|                       | high school                   | 19  | 8.6  |
|                       | Intermediate                  | 12  | 5.5  |
|                       | Graduate                      | 22  | 10.0 |
|                       | Postgraduate                  | 16  | 7.3  |
| occupation of RDW     | Housewife                     | 185 | 84.1 |
|                       | Unemployed                    | 2   | 0.9  |
|                       | Unskilled                     | 8   | 3.6  |
|                       | semi-skilled                  | 4   | 1.8  |
|                       | Skilled                       | 4   | 1.8  |
|                       | clerical / shop owner/ farmer | 9   | 4.1  |
|                       | semi professional             | 4   | 1.8  |
|                       | Professional                  | 4   | 1.8  |
| education of husband  | Illiterate                    | 27  | 12.3 |
|                       | just literate                 | 39  | 17.7 |
|                       | Primary                       | 15  | 6.8  |
|                       | Middle                        | 8   | 3.6  |
|                       | high school                   | 26  | 11.8 |
|                       | Intermediate                  | 56  | 25.5 |
|                       | Graduate                      | 29  | 13.2 |
|                       | Postgraduate                  | 20  | 9.1  |
| occupation of husband | Unemployed                    | 6   | 2.7  |
|                       | Unskilled                     | 30  | 13.6 |
|                       | semi-skilled                  | 57  | 25.9 |
|                       | Skilled                       | 27  | 12.3 |
|                       | clerical/ shop owner/ farmer  | 60  | 27.3 |
|                       | semi-professional             | 22  | 10.0 |
|                       | Professional                  | 18  | 8.2  |

In present study, most of the females (27.7%) were illiterate followed by 24.5% participants who were just literate. 8.2% participants had schooling till primary class, 8.2% participants were educated up till middle school, 8.6% were high school passed, 5.5% females were educated till intermediate. 10% women were graduated and only 7.3% were postgraduate. Majority of the females (84.1%) were housewives. 9 (4.1%) participants were doing clerical jobs for earning and 8 (3.6%) females were unskilled workers.

On observing the educational status of Participant's husband most of them 56 (25.5%) were educated till intermediate, 39 (17.7%) people were just literate, 13.2% were graduated, 12.3% were illiterate and 11.8% were high school passed. According to the employment status of participant's husband it was found that 60 (27.3%) were doing clerical jobs, 57 (25.9%) were semi-skilled workers, 30 (13.6%) were unskilled workers and 27 (12.3%) were skilled workers.

**Table 3: Distribution of RDW according to marital life support and satisfaction:**

| Characteristics           |                       | No. | %    |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----|------|
| sufficiency of income     | completely sufficient | 13  | 5.9  |
|                           | fairly sufficient     | 164 | 74.5 |
|                           | Insufficient          | 43  | 19.5 |
| marital life satisfaction | extremely high        | 13  | 5.9  |
|                           | High                  | 63  | 28.6 |
|                           | Moderate              | 136 | 61.8 |
|                           | Poor                  | 8   | 3.6  |
| spouse support            | extremely high        | 19  | 8.6  |
|                           | High                  | 74  | 33.6 |
|                           | Moderate              | 121 | 55.0 |
|                           | Poor                  | 6   | 2.7  |

In present study, majority of the participants felt that their income is fairly sufficient for their household. 13 (5.9%) participants were satisfied with their income and 43 (19.5%) found their income was insufficient. The results showed that 136 (61.8%) were moderately satisfied with their marital life whereas 63 (28.6%) participants were highly satisfied with their marital life. 13 (5.9%) participants were extremely satisfied in

their married life and only 8 (3.6%) participants reported poor marital life satisfaction. About the support of their spouses majority 121 (55%) participants reported moderate support from their spouses. 74 (33.6%) participants described that they had high spouse support. 19 (8.6%) participants had extremely high spouse support and only 6 (2.7%) females had poor spouse support.

**Table 4: Different levels of depression, stress, and anxiety in pregnant women during Covid-19 pandemic:**

| Component  |                  | No. | %    |
|------------|------------------|-----|------|
| Depression | Normal           | 23  | 10.5 |
|            | Mild             | 21  | 9.5  |
|            | Moderate         | 48  | 21.8 |
|            | Severe           | 34  | 15.5 |
|            | Extremely Severe | 94  | 42.7 |
| Anxiety    | Normal           | 14  | 6.4  |
|            | Mild             | 9   | 4.1  |
|            | Moderate         | 36  | 16.4 |
|            | Severe           | 21  | 9.5  |
|            | Extremely Severe | 140 | 63.6 |
| Stress     | Normal           | 59  | 26.8 |
|            | Mild             | 21  | 9.5  |
|            | Moderate         | 32  | 14.5 |
|            | Severe           | 50  | 22.7 |
|            | Extremely Severe | 58  | 26.4 |

The study explored the mental status of pregnant women in terms of depression, anxiety and stress. The study found 94 (42.7%) participants extremely severe symptoms of depression, 34 (15.5%) participants reported severe depression, 48 (21.8%) females had moderate depression, 21 (9.5%) had mild depression and only 23 (10.5%) participants were normal. On the assessment of Anxiety majority of the women (63.6%) had symptoms of extremely severe depression. 21 (9.5%) participants had severe anxiety,

36 (16.4%) participants reported moderate anxiety and 36 (16.4%) were found having mild anxiety. 14 (6.4%) women were normal and doesn't had any symptom of anxiety. The present study found that mostly 59 (26.8%) women were normal and does not had any stress. Equally found 58 (26.4%) participants had extremely severe stress. 50 (22.7%) participants had severe stress, 32 (14.5%) women reported moderate stress and 21 (9.5%) women had mild stress.

**Table 5: Association of Socio-demographic characteristics with Depression:**

| Socio-demographic Characteristics |         | Depression |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |       | chi sq | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|
|                                   |         | Normal     |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |       |        |         |
|                                   |         | No.        | %     | No.  | %     | No.      | %     | No.    | %     | No.              | %     |        |         |
| Setting                           | Urban   | 18         | 16.1% | 6    | 5.4%  | 2        | 23.2% | 2      | 21.4% | 3                | 33.9% | 20.68  | <0.001  |
|                                   | Rural   | 5          | 4.6%  | 1    | 13.9% | 2        | 20.4% | 1      | 9.3%  | 5                | 51.9% |        |         |
| Type of family                    | Nuclear | 12         | 9.4%  | 6    | 4.7%  | 2        | 21.3% | 2      | 20.5% | 5                | 44.1% | 12.68  | 0.013   |
|                                   | Joint   | 11         | 11.8% | 1    | 16.1% | 2        | 22.6% | 8      | 8.6%  | 3                | 40.9% |        |         |
| Religion                          | Hindu   | 7          | 15.2% | 3    | 6.5%  | 1        | 21.7% | 8      | 17.4% | 1                | 39.1% | 2.14   | 0.711   |
|                                   | Muslim  | 16         | 9.2%  | 1    | 10.3% | 3        | 21.8% | 2      | 14.9% | 7                | 43.7% |        |         |
|                                   | Other   | 0          | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 0                | 0.0%  |        |         |
| Social                            | class 1 | 4          | 10.0% | 6    | 15.0% | 1        | 25.0% | 1      | 35.0% | 6                | 15.0% | 55.    | <0.0    |

|                        |         |      |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |        |     |                 |
|------------------------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Class                  |         |      | %     |      | %     | 0     | %     | 4     | %     |       | %      | 46  | <b>01</b>       |
|                        | class 2 | 10   | 12.7% | 1    | 15.2% | 2     | 29.1% | 6     | 7.6%  | 2     | 35.4%  |     |                 |
|                        | class 3 | 9    | 18.4% | 3    | 6.1%  | 3     | 6.1%  | 6     | 12.2% | 2     | 57.1%  |     |                 |
|                        | class 4 | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 6     | 18.8% | 6     | 18.8% | 2     | 62.5%  |     |                 |
| class 5                | 0       | 0.0% | 0     | 0.0% | 6     | 30.0% | 2     | 10.0% | 1     | 60.0% |        |     |                 |
| Age at marriage        | <18     | 0    | 0.0%  | 1    | 25.9% | 5     | 8.6%  | 6     | 10.3% | 3     | 55.2%  | 43. | <b>&lt;0.01</b> |
|                        | 18-30   | 23   | 14.4% | 6    | 3.8%  | 4     | 26.9% | 2     | 17.5% | 6     | 37.5%  |     |                 |
|                        | 30-40   | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%  | 2     | 100.0% |     |                 |
|                        | >40     | 0    | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%  | 0     | 0.0%   |     |                 |
| no. of living children | 0       | 0    | 0.0%  | 3    | 33.3% | 2     | 22.2% | 2     | 22.2% | 2     | 22.2%  | 45. | <b>&lt;0.01</b> |
|                        | 1       | 8    | 8.3%  | 1    | 12.5% | 2     | 29.2% | 2     | 25.0% | 2     | 25.0%  |     |                 |
|                        | 2       | 8    | 16.3% | 0    | 0.0%  | 9     | 18.4% | 4     | 8.2%  | 2     | 57.1%  |     |                 |
|                        | =/>3    | 7    | 10.6% | 6    | 9.1%  | 9     | 13.6% | 4     | 6.1%  | 4     | 60.6%  |     |                 |

The study found significant association between Depression and few socio-demographic factors like study setting, social class, marriage age and number of living children with highly significant p-values (table 5). It was seen that women living in rural areas

and low socio-economic status were suffering from extremely severe depression. The results revealed that late marriage or early marriage and more number of children are highly associated with extremely severe depression.

**Table 6: Association of Occupation and Educational status with Depression:**

| Characteristics   |               | Depression |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |        | chi sq | p-value         |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
|                   |               | Normal     |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |        |        |                 |
|                   |               | No.        | %     | No.  | %     | No.      | %     | No.    | %     | No.              | %      |        |                 |
| Education of RDW  | Illiterate    | 7          | 11.5% | 3    | 4.9%  | 9        | 14.8% | 4      | 6.6%  | 38               | 62.3%  | 117.46 | <b>&lt;0.01</b> |
|                   | just literate | 0          | 0.0%  | 15   | 27.8% | 21       | 38.9% | 4      | 7.4%  | 14               | 25.9%  |        |                 |
|                   | Primary       | 2          | 11.1% | 3    | 16.7% | 3        | 16.7% | 0      | 0.0%  | 10               | 55.6%  |        |                 |
|                   | Middle        | 4          | 22.2% | 0    | 0.0%  | 6        | 33.3% | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 44.4%  |        |                 |
|                   | high school   | 2          | 10.5% | 0    | 0.0%  | 3        | 15.8% | 4      | 21.1% | 10               | 52.6%  |        |                 |
|                   | Intermediate  | 2          | 16.7% | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 6      | 50.0% | 4                | 33.3%  |        |                 |
|                   | graduate      | 2          | 9.1%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 6        | 27.3% | 6      | 27.3% | 8                | 36.4%  |        |                 |
|                   | postgraduate  | 4          | 25.0% | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 10     | 62.5% | 2                | 12.5%  |        |                 |
| Occupation of RDW | housewife     | 21         | 11.4% | 21   | 11.4% | 43       | 23.2% | 30     | 16.2% | 70               | 37.8%  | 45.14  | <b>0.021</b>    |
|                   | unemployed    | 0          | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 2                | 100.0% |        |                 |
|                   | unskilled     | 0          | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 100.0% |        |                 |

|                       |                               |   |        |    |        |    |        |    |        |    |         |         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|---------|
|                       |                               |   | %      |    | %      |    |        | %  |        | %  |         |         |
|                       | semi-skilled                  | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 100.0 % |         |
|                       | Skilled                       | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 100.0 % |         |
|                       | clerical / shop owner/ farmer | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 3  | 33.3 % | 4  | 44.4 % | 2  | 22.2 %  |         |
|                       | semi professional             | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 50.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 50.0 %  |         |
|                       | professional                  | 2 | 50.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 50.0 %  |         |
| Education of husband  | Illiterate                    | 3 | 11.1 % | 3  | 11.1 % | 3  | 11.1 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 18 | 66.7 %  | 119 .91 |
|                       | just literate                 | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 13 | 33.3 % | 4  | 10.3 % | 22 | 56.4 %  |         |
|                       | Primary                       | 0 | 0.0 %  | 3  | 20.0 % | 0  | 0.0%   | 4  | 26.7 % | 8  | 53.3 %  |         |
|                       | Middle                        | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 2  | 25.0 % | 6  | 75.0 %  |         |
|                       | high school                   | 4 | 15.4 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 6  | 23.1 % | 4  | 15.4 % | 12 | 46.2 %  |         |
|                       | intermediate                  | 8 | 14.3 % | 12 | 21.4 % | 22 | 39.3 % | 2  | 3.6 %  | 12 | 21.4 %  |         |
|                       | graduate                      | 4 | 13.8 % | 3  | 10.3 % | 4  | 13.8 % | 4  | 13.8 % | 14 | 48.3 %  |         |
|                       | postgraduate                  | 4 | 20.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 14 | 70.0 % | 2  | 10.0 %  |         |
| Occupation of Husband | unemployed                    | 0 | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 6  | 100.0 % | 80. 77  |
|                       | unskilled                     | 6 | 20.0 % | 3  | 10.0 % | 5  | 16.7 % | 4  | 13.3 % | 12 | 40.0 %  |         |
|                       | semi skilled                  | 5 | 8.8 %  | 12 | 21.1 % | 14 | 24.6 % | 6  | 10.5 % | 20 | 35.1 %  |         |
|                       | Skilled                       | 0 | 0.0 %  | 3  | 11.1 % | 10 | 37.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 14 | 51.9 %  |         |
|                       | clerical/ shop owner/ farmer  | 8 | 13.3 % | 3  | 5.0 %  | 17 | 28.3 % | 6  | 10.0 % | 26 | 43.3 %  |         |
|                       | semi-professional             | 2 | 9.1 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 14 | 63.6 % | 6  | 27.3 %  |         |
|                       | professional                  | 2 | 11.1 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 11.1 % | 4  | 22.2 % | 10 | 55.6 %  |         |

In present study, significant association was found between Depression and education of participant, occupation of participant, educational status of their husband and occupation of their husband and occupational status of females and their husband (table 6). It was seen that females who were less educated or illiterate had high chances of having severe depression.

**Table 7: Association of Marital life support & satisfaction with Depression:**

| Characteristics       |                       | Depression |        |      |        |          |        |        |        |                  |        | chi sq | p-value       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|---------------|
|                       |                       | Normal     |        | Mild |        | Moderate |        | Severe |        | Extremely Severe |        |        |               |
|                       |                       | No .       | %      | N o. | %      | N o.     | %      | N o.   | %      | N o.             | %      |        |               |
| Sufficiency of income | completely sufficient | 3          | 23.1 % | 3    | 23.1 % | 3        | 23.1 % | 0      | 0.0 %  | 4                | 30.8 % | 27. 33 | <b>0.00 1</b> |
|                       | fairly sufficient     | 18         | 11.0 % | 15   | 9.1 %  | 37       | 22.6 % | 34     | 20.7 % | 60               | 36.6 % |        |               |

|                           |                |    |        |    |        |    |        |    |        |    |         |         |                 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|---------|-----------------|
|                           | insufficient   | 2  | 4.7 %  | 3  | 7.0 %  | 8  | 18.6 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 30 | 69.8 %  |         |                 |
| Marital life satisfaction | extremely high | 11 | 84.6 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 15.4 %  | 153 .63 | <b>&lt;0.01</b> |
|                           | High           | 10 | 15.9 % | 18 | 28.6 % | 19 | 30.2 % | 8  | 12.7 % | 8  | 12.7 %  |         |                 |
|                           | moderate       | 2  | 1.5 %  | 3  | 2.2 %  | 29 | 21.3 % | 26 | 19.1 % | 76 | 55.9 %  |         |                 |
|                           | Poor           | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%   | 0  | 0.0 %  | 8  | 100.0 % |         |                 |
| Spouse support            | extremely high | 9  | 47.4 % | 3  | 15.8 % | 3  | 15.8 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 21.1 %  | 80.79   | <b>&lt;0.01</b> |
|                           | High           | 2  | 2.7 %  | 15 | 20.3 % | 19 | 25.7 % | 22 | 29.7 % | 16 | 21.6 %  |         |                 |
|                           | moderate       | 12 | 9.9 %  | 3  | 2.5 %  | 24 | 19.8 % | 12 | 9.9 %  | 70 | 57.9 %  |         |                 |
|                           | Poor           | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 33.3 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 66.7 %  |         |                 |

The study established highly significant association between extremely severe Depression and insufficient income, poor marital life satisfaction and poor spouse support (table 7).

**Table 8: Association of Socio-demographic characteristics with Anxiety:**

| Socio-demographic Characteristics |         | Anxiety |        |      |        |          |        |        |        |                  |         | chi sq | p-value      |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|--------------|
|                                   |         | Normal  |        | Mild |        | Moderate |        | Severe |        | Extremely Severe |         |        |              |
|                                   |         | No      | %      | No   | %      | No       | %      | No     | %      | No               | %       |        |              |
| Setting                           | Urban   | 12      | 10.7 % | 6    | 5.4%   | 12       | 10.7 % | 12     | 10.7 % | 70               | 62.5%   | 12.50  | <b>0.014</b> |
|                                   | Rural   | 2       | 1.9%   | 3    | 2.8%   | 24       | 22.2 % | 9      | 8.3%   | 70               | 64.8%   |        |              |
| Type of family                    | Nuclear | 10      | 7.9%   | 2    | 1.6%   | 12       | 9.4%   | 15     | 11.8 % | 88               | 69.3%   | 17.63  | <b>0.001</b> |
|                                   | joint   | 4       | 4.3%   | 7    | 7.5%   | 24       | 25.8 % | 6      | 6.5%   | 52               | 55.9%   |        |              |
| Religion                          | hindu   | 2       | 4.3%   | 5    | 10.9 % | 3        | 6.5%   | 6      | 13.0 % | 30               | 65.2%   | 11.12  | <b>0.025</b> |
|                                   | muslim  | 12      | 6.9%   | 4    | 2.3%   | 33       | 19.0 % | 15     | 8.6%   | 110              | 63.2%   |        |              |
|                                   | other   | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 0        | 0.0%   | 0      | 0.0%   | 0                | 0.0%    |        |              |
| Social class                      | class 1 | 2       | 5.0%   | 2    | 5.0%   | 9        | 22.5 % | 3      | 7.5%   | 24               | 60.0%   | 24.17  | 0.086        |
|                                   | class 2 | 6       | 7.6%   | 4    | 5.1%   | 15       | 19.0 % | 12     | 15.2 % | 42               | 53.2%   |        |              |
|                                   | class 3 | 6       | 12.2 % | 3    | 6.1%   | 3        | 6.1%   | 3      | 6.1%   | 34               | 69.4%   |        |              |
|                                   | class 4 | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 6        | 18.8 % | 0      | 0.0%   | 26               | 81.3%   |        |              |
|                                   | class 5 | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 3        | 15.0 % | 3      | 15.0 % | 14               | 70.0%   |        |              |
| Age at marriage                   | <18     | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 15       | 25.9 % | 3      | 5.2%   | 40               | 69.0%   | 15.83  | <b>0.045</b> |
|                                   | 18-30   | 14      | 8.8%   | 9    | 5.6%   | 21       | 13.1 % | 18     | 11.3 % | 98               | 61.3%   |        |              |
|                                   | 30-40   | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 0        | 0.0%   | 0      | 0.0%   | 2                | 100.0 % |        |              |
|                                   | >40     | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 0        | 0.0%   | 0      | 0.0%   | 0                | 0.0%    |        |              |
| no. of                            | 0       | 0       | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 3        | 33.3   | 0      | 0.0%   | 6                | 66.7%   | 15.9   | 0.19         |



|                 |      |   |       |   |      |    |       |    |       |    |       |   |   |
|-----------------|------|---|-------|---|------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|---|---|
| living children |      |   |       |   |      |    | %     |    |       |    |       | 7 | 3 |
|                 | 1    | 4 | 4.2%  | 4 | 4.2% | 15 | 15.6% | 15 | 15.6% | 58 | 60.4% |   |   |
|                 | 2    | 6 | 12.2% | 2 | 4.1% | 9  | 18.4% | 0  | 0.0%  | 32 | 65.3% |   |   |
|                 | =/>3 | 4 | 6.1%  | 3 | 4.5% | 9  | 13.6% | 6  | 9.1%  | 44 | 66.7% |   |   |

On observation of study results, significant association was found between place, type of family and age of marriage with anxiety (table 8).

**Table 9: Association of Occupation and Educational status with Anxiety:**

| Characteristics             |  | Anxiety |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |        | chi sq    | p-value               |
|-----------------------------|--|---------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
|                             |  | Normal  |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |        |           |                       |
|                             |  | N o.    | %     | N o. | %     | N o.     | %     | N o.   | %     | No.              | %      |           |                       |
| Educatio<br>n of<br>RDW     | Illiterate                             | 2       | 3.3%  | 5    | 8.2%  | 6        | 9.8%  | 6      | 9.8%  | 42               | 68.9%  | 95.0<br>6 | <b>&lt;0.00<br/>1</b> |
|                             | just<br>literate                       | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 24       | 44.4% | 6      | 11.1% | 24               | 44.4%  |           |                       |
|                             | Primary                                | 2       | 11.1% | 0    | 0.0%  | 3        | 16.7% | 3      | 16.7% | 10               | 55.6%  |           |                       |
|                             | Middle                                 | 2       | 11.1% | 2    | 11.1% | 0        | 0.0%  | 6      | 33.3% | 8                | 44.4%  |           |                       |
|                             | high<br>school                         | 0       | 0.0%  | 2    | 10.5% | 3        | 15.8% | 0      | 0.0%  | 14               | 73.7%  |           |                       |
|                             | Intermedi<br>ate                       | 2       | 16.7% | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 10               | 83.3%  |           |                       |
|                             | Graduate                               | 2       | 9.1%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 20               | 90.9%  |           |                       |
| Postgradu<br>ate            | 4                                      | 25.0%   | 0     | 0.0% | 0     | 0.0%     | 0     | 0.0%   | 12    | 75.0%            |        |           |                       |
| Occupati<br>on of<br>RDW    | housewife                              | 12      | 6.5%  | 9    | 4.9%  | 36       | 19.5% | 18     | 9.7%  | 110              | 59.5%  | 35.4<br>9 | 0.156                 |
|                             | Unemplo<br>yed                         | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 2                | 100.0% |           |                       |
|                             | Unskilled                              | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 100.0% |           |                       |
|                             | semi-<br>skilled                       | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 100.0% |           |                       |
|                             | Skilled                                | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 100.0% |           |                       |
|                             | clerical /<br>shop<br>owner/<br>farmer | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 3      | 33.3% | 6                | 66.7%  |           |                       |
|                             | semi<br>profession<br>al               | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 100.0% |           |                       |
| Professio<br>nal            | 2                                      | 50.0%   | 0     | 0.0% | 0     | 0.0%     | 0     | 0.0%   | 2     | 50.0%            |        |           |                       |
| Educatio<br>n of<br>husband | illiterate                             | 0       | 0.0%  | 3    | 11.1% | 3        | 11.1% | 3      | 11.1% | 18               | 66.7%  | 87.0<br>0 | <b>&lt;0.00<br/>1</b> |
|                             | just<br>literate                       | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 3        | 7.7%  | 6      | 15.4% | 30               | 76.9%  |           |                       |
|                             | primary                                | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 3        | 20.0% | 0      | 0.0%  | 12               | 80.0%  |           |                       |
|                             | middle                                 | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 100.0% |           |                       |
|                             | high<br>school                         | 2       | 7.7%  | 2    | 7.7%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 6      | 23.1% | 16               | 61.5%  |           |                       |
|                             | Intermedi<br>ate                       | 4       | 7.1%  | 4    | 7.1%  | 24       | 42.9% | 6      | 10.7% | 18               | 32.1%  |           |                       |

|                       |                            |   |       |   |       |    |       |   |       |    |        |       |              |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|-------|---|-------|----|-------|---|-------|----|--------|-------|--------------|
|                       | graduate                   | 4 | 13.8% | 0 | 0.0%  | 3  | 10.3% | 0 | 0.0%  | 22 | 75.9%  |       |              |
|                       | Postgraduate               | 4 | 20.0% | 0 | 0.0%  | 0  | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 16 | 80.0%  |       |              |
| Occupation of Husband | Unemployed                 | 0 | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 0  | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 6  | 100.0% | 52.66 | <b>0.001</b> |
|                       | Unskilled                  | 2 | 6.7%  | 4 | 13.3% | 6  | 20.0% | 0 | 0.0%  | 18 | 60.0%  |       |              |
|                       | semi skilled               | 2 | 3.5%  | 3 | 5.3%  | 18 | 31.6% | 6 | 10.5% | 28 | 49.1%  |       |              |
|                       | Skilled                    | 0 | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 3  | 11.1% | 6 | 22.2% | 18 | 66.7%  |       |              |
|                       | clerical/shop owner/farmer | 6 | 10.0% | 2 | 3.3%  | 9  | 15.0% | 9 | 15.0% | 34 | 56.7%  |       |              |
|                       | semi-professional          | 2 | 9.1%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 0  | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 20 | 90.9%  |       |              |
|                       | Professional               | 2 | 11.1% | 0 | 0.0%  | 0  | 0.0%  | 0 | 0.0%  | 16 | 88.9%  |       |              |

Significant association was also found between educational status of participants, their husband and the occupation of their husband with anxiety (table 9).

**Table 10: Association of Marital Life status and satisfaction with Anxiety:**

| Characteristics           |                       | Anxiety |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |        | chi sq | p-value          |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|
|                           |                       | Normal  |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |        |        |                  |
|                           |                       | No.     | %     | No.  | %     | No.      | %     | No.    | %     | No.              | %      |        |                  |
| Sufficiency of income     | completely sufficient | 0       | 0.0%  | 3    | 23.1% | 6        | 46.2% | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 30.8%  | 29.75  | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                           | fairly sufficient     | 14      | 8.5%  | 4    | 2.4%  | 24       | 14.6% | 18     | 11.0% | 104              | 63.4%  |        |                  |
|                           | Insufficient          | 0       | 0.0%  | 2    | 4.7%  | 6        | 14.0% | 3      | 7.0%  | 32               | 74.4%  |        |                  |
| Marital life satisfaction | extremely high        | 6       | 46.2% | 5    | 38.5% | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 2                | 15.4%  | 135.92 | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                           | High                  | 6       | 9.5%  | 4    | 6.3%  | 24       | 38.1% | 9      | 14.3% | 20               | 31.7%  |        |                  |
|                           | moderate              | 2       | 1.5%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 12       | 8.8%  | 12     | 8.8%  | 110              | 80.9%  |        |                  |
|                           | Poor                  | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 100.0% |        |                  |
| Spouse support            | extremely high        | 6       | 31.6% | 3    | 15.8% | 3        | 15.8% | 3      | 15.8% | 4                | 21.1%  | 44.76  | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                           | High                  | 0       | 0.0%  | 2    | 2.7%  | 18       | 24.3% | 6      | 8.1%  | 48               | 64.9%  |        |                  |
|                           | Moderate              | 8       | 6.6%  | 4    | 3.3%  | 15       | 12.4% | 12     | 9.9%  | 82               | 67.8%  |        |                  |
|                           | Poor                  | 0       | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 6                | 100.0% |        |                  |

In table 10, sufficiency of income, marital life satisfaction and spouse support all three were found significantly associated with anxiety.

**Table 11: Association of Socio-demographic Characteristics with Stress:**

| Socio-demographic Characteristics |         | Stress |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |        | chi sq | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
|                                   |         | Normal |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |        |        |         |
|                                   |         | No     | %     | No   | %     | No       | %     | No     | %     | No               | %      |        |         |
| Setting                           | Urban   | 30     | 26.8% | 12   | 10.7% | 22       | 19.6% | 26     | 23.2% | 22               | 19.6%  | 8.34   | 0.080   |
|                                   | Rural   | 29     | 26.9% | 9    | 8.3%  | 10       | 9.3%  | 24     | 22.2% | 36               | 33.3%  |        |         |
| Type of family                    | Nuclear | 24     | 18.9% | 15   | 11.8% | 20       | 15.7% | 36     | 28.3% | 32               | 25.2%  | 13.27  | 0.010   |
|                                   | Joint   | 35     | 37.6% | 6    | 6.5%  | 12       | 12.9% | 14     | 15.1% | 26               | 28.0%  |        |         |
| Religion                          | Hindu   | 10     | 21.7% | 6    | 13.0% | 10       | 21.7% | 6      | 13.0% | 14               | 30.4%  | 6.14   | 0.189   |
|                                   | Muslim  | 49     | 28.2% | 15   | 8.6%  | 22       | 12.6% | 44     | 25.3% | 44               | 25.3%  |        |         |
|                                   | Other   | 0      | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 0                | 0.0%   |        |         |
| Social class                      | class 1 | 13     | 32.5% | 3    | 7.5%  | 10       | 25.0% | 12     | 30.0% | 2                | 5.0%   | 38.26  | 0.001   |
|                                   | class 2 | 25     | 31.6% | 12   | 15.2% | 12       | 15.2% | 10     | 12.7% | 20               | 25.3%  |        |         |
|                                   | class 3 | 12     | 24.5% | 3    | 6.1%  | 4        | 8.2%  | 10     | 20.4% | 20               | 40.8%  |        |         |
|                                   | class 4 | 6      | 18.8% | 0    | 0.0%  | 6        | 18.8% | 12     | 37.5% | 8                | 25.0%  |        |         |
|                                   | class 5 | 3      | 15.0% | 3    | 15.0% | 0        | 0.0%  | 6      | 30.0% | 8                | 40.0%  |        |         |
| Age at marriage                   | <18     | 15     | 25.9% | 3    | 5.2%  | 6        | 10.3% | 18     | 31.0% | 16               | 27.6%  | 10.74  | 0.217   |
|                                   | 18-30   | 44     | 27.5% | 18   | 11.3% | 26       | 16.3% | 32     | 20.0% | 40               | 25.0%  |        |         |
|                                   | 30-40   | 0      | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 2                | 100.0% |        |         |
|                                   | >40     | 0      | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 0                | 0.0%   |        |         |
| no. of living children            | 0       | 3      | 33.3% | 0    | 0.0%  | 2        | 22.2% | 2      | 22.2% | 2                | 22.2%  | 41.10  | <0.001  |
|                                   | 1       | 23     | 24.0% | 15   | 15.6% | 24       | 25.0% | 16     | 16.7% | 18               | 18.8%  |        |         |
|                                   | 2       | 17     | 34.7% | 0    | 0.0%  | 4        | 8.2%  | 18     | 36.7% | 10               | 20.4%  |        |         |
|                                   | =/>3    | 16     | 24.2% | 6    | 9.1%  | 2        | 3.0%  | 14     | 21.2% | 28               | 42.4%  |        |         |

The present study found significant association of social class and no.of children with stress (table 11).

**Table 12: Association of Occupation and Educational status with Stress:**

| Characteristics  |               | Stress |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |       | chi sq | p-value |
|------------------|---------------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|
|                  |               | Normal |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |       |        |         |
|                  |               | No.    | %     | No.  | %     | No.      | %     | No.    | %     | No.              | %     |        |         |
| Education of RDW | Illiterate    | 13     | 21.3% | 6    | 9.8%  | 4        | 6.6%  | 18     | 29.5% | 20               | 32.8% | 90.80  | <0.001  |
|                  | just literate | 24     | 44.4% | 6    | 11.1% | 6        | 11.1% | 6      | 11.1% | 12               | 22.2% |        |         |
|                  | Primary       | 5      | 27.8% | 3    | 16.7% | 0        | 0.0%  | 6      | 33.3% | 4                | 22.2% |        |         |

|                       |                               |    |        |    |        |    |        |    |         |    |        |       |                  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|----|--------|-------|------------------|
|                       | Middle                        | 4  | 22.2 % | 6  | 33.3 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 11.1 %  | 6  | 33.3%  |       |                  |
|                       | high school                   | 5  | 26.3 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 10.5 % | 6  | 31.6 %  | 6  | 31.6%  |       |                  |
|                       | Intermediate                  | 2  | 16.7 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 6  | 50.0 % | 0  | 0.0%    | 4  | 33.3%  |       |                  |
|                       | Graduate                      | 2  | 9.1 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 12 | 54.5 % | 4  | 18.2 %  | 4  | 18.2%  |       |                  |
|                       | Postgraduate                  | 4  | 25.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 12.5 % | 8  | 50.0 %  | 2  | 12.5%  |       |                  |
| Occupation of RDW     | housewife                     | 57 | 30.8 % | 18 | 9.7 %  | 26 | 14.1 % | 48 | 25.9 %  | 36 | 19.5%  | 82.39 | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                       | Unemployed                    | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 100.0 % | 0  | 0.0%   |       |                  |
|                       | Unskilled                     | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%    | 8  | 100.0% |       |                  |
|                       | semi-skilled                  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%    | 4  | 100.0% |       |                  |
|                       | Skilled                       | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%    | 4  | 100.0% |       |                  |
|                       | clerical / shop owner/ farmer | 0  | 0.0 %  | 3  | 33.3 % | 4  | 44.4 % | 0  | 0.0%    | 2  | 22.2%  |       |                  |
|                       | semi professional             | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 50.0 % | 0  | 0.0%    | 2  | 50.0%  |       |                  |
|                       | Professional                  | 2  | 50.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0%    | 2  | 50.0%  |       |                  |
| Education of husband  | Illiterate                    | 6  | 22.2 % | 3  | 11.1 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 10 | 37.0 %  | 8  | 29.6%  | 98.70 | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                       | just literate                 | 3  | 7.7 %  | 6  | 15.4 % | 8  | 20.5 % | 6  | 15.4 %  | 16 | 41.0%  |       |                  |
|                       | Primary                       | 3  | 20.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 8  | 53.3 %  | 4  | 26.7%  |       |                  |
|                       | Middle                        | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 50.0 %  | 4  | 50.0%  |       |                  |
|                       | high school                   | 4  | 15.4 % | 6  | 23.1 % | 4  | 15.4 % | 0  | 0.0%    | 12 | 46.2%  |       |                  |
|                       | Intermediate                  | 32 | 57.1 % | 6  | 10.7 % | 6  | 10.7 % | 6  | 10.7 %  | 6  | 10.7%  |       |                  |
|                       | Graduate                      | 7  | 24.1 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 8  | 27.6 % | 8  | 27.6 %  | 6  | 20.7%  |       |                  |
|                       | Postgraduate                  | 4  | 20.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 6  | 30.0 % | 8  | 40.0 %  | 2  | 10.0%  |       |                  |
| Occupation of Husband | Unemployed                    | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 2  | 33.3 %  | 4  | 66.7%  | 60.40 | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                       | Unskilled                     | 12 | 40.0 % | 0  | 0.0 %  | 4  | 13.3 % | 12 | 40.0 %  | 2  | 6.7%   |       |                  |
|                       | semi skilled                  | 23 | 40.4 % | 6  | 10.5 % | 6  | 10.5 % | 10 | 17.5 %  | 12 | 21.1%  |       |                  |
|                       | Skilled                       | 3  | 11.1 % | 6  | 22.2 % | 4  | 14.8 % | 6  | 22.2 %  | 8  | 29.6%  |       |                  |
|                       | clerical/ shop owner/ farmer  | 17 | 28.3 % | 9  | 15.0 % | 6  | 10.0 % | 6  | 10.0 %  | 22 | 36.7%  |       |                  |
|                       | semi-professio                | 2  | 9.1 %  | 0  | 0.0 %  | 6  | 27.3 % | 8  | 36.4 %  | 6  | 27.3%  |       |                  |

|  |                   |   |           |   |          |   |           |   |           |   |       |  |
|--|-------------------|---|-----------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|-------|--|
|  | nal               |   |           |   |          |   |           |   |           |   |       |  |
|  | Profession<br>nal | 2 | 11.1<br>% | 0 | 0.0<br>% | 6 | 33.3<br>% | 6 | 33.3<br>% | 4 | 22.2% |  |

On the assessment of education and occupational status of candidate and their husband all of them were found significantly associated with stress (table 12).

**Table 13: Association of Marital Life status and satisfaction with Stress:**

| Characteristics           |                       | Stress |       |      |       |          |       |        |       |                  |        | chi sq | p-value          |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|
|                           |                       | Normal |       | Mild |       | Moderate |       | Severe |       | Extremely Severe |        |        |                  |
|                           |                       | No.    | %     | No.  | %     | No.      | %     | No.    | %     | No.              | %      |        |                  |
| Sufficiency of income     | completely sufficient | 9      | 69.2% | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 2      | 15.4% | 2                | 15.4%  | 27.45  | <b>0.001</b>     |
|                           | fairly sufficient     | 42     | 25.6% | 18   | 11.0% | 30       | 18.3% | 30     | 18.3% | 44               | 26.8%  |        |                  |
|                           | Insufficient          | 8      | 18.6% | 3    | 7.0%  | 2        | 4.7%  | 18     | 41.9% | 12               | 27.9%  |        |                  |
| Marital life satisfaction | extremely high        | 11     | 84.6% | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 2                | 15.4%  | 105.05 | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                           | High                  | 34     | 54.0% | 9    | 14.3% | 12       | 19.0% | 4      | 6.3%  | 4                | 6.3%   |        |                  |
|                           | Moderate              | 14     | 10.3% | 12   | 8.8%  | 20       | 14.7% | 46     | 33.8% | 44               | 32.4%  |        |                  |
|                           | Poor                  | 0      | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 8                | 100.0% |        |                  |
| Spouse support            | extremely high        | 12     | 63.2% | 3    | 15.8% | 0        | 0.0%  | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 21.1%  | 62.70  | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
|                           | High                  | 20     | 27.0% | 6    | 8.1%  | 24       | 32.4% | 16     | 21.6% | 8                | 10.8%  |        |                  |
|                           | Moderate              | 27     | 22.3% | 12   | 9.9%  | 6        | 5.0%  | 34     | 28.1% | 42               | 34.7%  |        |                  |
|                           | Poor                  | 0      | 0.0%  | 0    | 0.0%  | 2        | 33.3% | 0      | 0.0%  | 4                | 66.7%  |        |                  |

The above table shows significant association of stress with sufficiency of income, marital life satisfaction and spouse support (table 13).

**Discussion**

The present study was conducted on 220 pregnant females for the assessment of their mental health during the time of Covid-19 pandemic. With the help of DASS-21 tool the assessment was done for Depression, Anxiety and stress in the participants. Pregnant women are known to be more vulnerable to psychological instability and stress, according to a number of studies. Pregnancy could thereby enhance the already adverse consequences of the present COVID-19 epidemic. The results revealed During Covid 19 pandemic, very poor mental health was observed among pregnant women. Among them very severe depression, anxiety and stress was found in 42.7%, 63.6% and 26.4% cases respectively while severe level of depression, anxiety and stress was found in 15.5%, 9.5% and 22.7% cases respectively. Effati-Daryani F et al.[14] quoted in his study in Iran “The mean (SD) score of depression,

stress, and anxiety were 3.91 (3.9), 6.22 (4.25), and 3.79 (3.39), respectively; the score range of 0 to 21. Depression, stress, and anxiety symptoms were observed in 32.7, 32.7, and 43.9% of the participants, respectively, with varying degrees from mild to very severe”. [14] In an online survey conducted by Khoury JE et al.[11] stated “57% of the sample reported clinically elevated depression, >30% reported elevated worries, and 19% reported elevated insomnia. Depression (t = 25.14, p < .0001) and anxiety (t = 17.21, p < .0001) levels were higher than non-COVID pregnant samples”. [11] Ayaz R et al.[13] reported “The mean total IDAS II score was found to increase from 184.8±49.8 (109–308) pre-pandemic to 202.6±52.9 (104–329) during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the difference in anxiety and depression of participating patients between the periods was statistically significant (p<0.001)”. [12] Significant association of depression was found with place (p<0.001), social class (p<0.001), age at marriage (p<0.001), no of living children (p<0.001), education of RDW (p<0.001), occupation of RDW (p=0.021), education of husband (p<0.001), occupation of

husband ( $p < 0.001$ ), sufficiency of income ( $p = 0.001$ ), marital life satisfaction ( $p < 0.001$ ) and spouse support ( $p < 0.001$ ). **Effati-Daryani F et al.**[14] cited "Based on the adjusted general linear model, variables of education level, spouse's job and marital life satisfaction were the predictors of depressive symptoms. Variables of spouse's education level, spouse's support, marital life satisfaction and the number of pregnancies were the predictive factors of anxiety symptoms and the variables of spouse's education level, household income sufficiency, spouse's support and marital life satisfaction were predictors of stress symptoms".[14] Significant association of anxiety was found with type of family ( $p = 0.001$ ), marriage age ( $p = 0.045$ ), education of RDW ( $p < 0.001$ ), education of husband ( $p < 0.001$ ), occupation of husband ( $p = 0.001$ ), sufficiency of income ( $p < 0.001$ ), marital life satisfaction ( $p < 0.001$ ) and spouse support ( $p < 0.001$ ). Significant association of stress was found with social class ( $p = 0.001$ ), no of living children ( $p < 0.001$ ), education of RDW ( $p < 0.001$ ), occupation of RDW ( $p < 0.001$ ), education of husband ( $p < 0.001$ ), occupation of husband ( $p < 0.001$ ), sufficiency of income ( $p = 0.001$ ), marital life satisfaction ( $p < 0.001$ ) and spouse support ( $p < 0.001$ ). **Lin W et al.** [11] published in his study conducted in China "It was observed that 62.7% and 71.4% of the participants got strong supports from their husbands and family members, respectively. Among these women, lower prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms was showed than those receiving weak or moderate supports (all  $P < 0.001$ )".[11] **Khoury JE et al.**[10] has also reported "Social isolation, financial trouble, relationship difficulties and threat of COVID-19 were associated with mental health. Social support (range - .24 to - .38,  $p < .05$ ), such that higher social support acted as a protective factor, particularly for those who appraise the impact of COVID-19 to be more negative."[10]. **Moyer CA et al.**[13] found the interaction term is significant for women with less education than a high school degree, indicating that these women are more affected by anxiety over losing their jobs or their jobs in general in his study [13] It appears that using strategies to promote marital life satisfaction and socioeconomic status can play an effective role in controlling anxiety and reducing stress and depression in pregnant women. Given the role of marital life satisfaction, high level of spouse's education, and income in reducing symptoms of stress, anxiety, and depression in pregnant women in critical situations like the prevalence of COVID-19.

**Conclusions & Recommendation:** During the COVID-19 epidemic, we noticed considerably greater instances of maternal depression and anxiety. According to this study, the COVID-19 outbreak severely impacts pregnant women's mental health, which has a detrimental impact on the outcome of their babies' delivery. Pregnant women experienced

significantly more anxiety and depressive symptoms throughout the COVID-19 infection. To minimise mental trauma during infectious disease outbreaks, healthcare providers should formulate appropriate treatment strategies for pregnant women who are a highly vulnerable group. The results of this study indicate that screening and evidence-based therapies for depression and anxiety in pregnancy are still necessary. It is essential to continue keeping an eye on mother, family, and child outcomes that are connected to these alarming increases in depression and anxiety, as well as health care system-wide monitoring of birth outcomes and postpartum mood in parents.

#### Strength and Limitations of the study

According to the available information the study is one of its own to find out the depression, stress and anxiety of pregnant females and associated factors during pandemic in Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. Since it was a community based study, the random sampling of the participants was another strength of the study. Conducting face to face survey is also another strength of the study which gives good level of perception in making the participants understand and obtaining accurate results from them. One of the limitation is that study is cross-sectional means that it cannot correctly reflect the causal association between socio-demographic factors and symptoms of stress, sadness, and anxiety. For more precise information prolong studies are needed which can follow up the mental health status of participants.

**Conflicts of interest:** There is no conflict of interest.

**Financial support:** Nil

#### References

1. Khadka S, Thapa S, Khatri R, Malla R, Thapa S, Chand A, et al. Prevalence of Anxiety in Pregnancy during COVID 19 pandemic in Shree Birendra Hospital, Chhauni, Nepal J ObstetGynaecol [Internet]. 2021;16(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/njog.v16i1.37507>
2. Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, Tomfohr-Madsen L, Giesbrecht G. Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Affect Disord. 2020;277:5–13.
3. Palladino CL, Singh V, Campbell J, Flynn H, Gold KJ. Homicide and suicide during the perinatal period: findings from the National Violent Death Reporting System. ObstetGynecol 2011;118:1056–63
4. Khamees RE, Taha OT, Ali TYM. Anxiety and depression during pregnancy in the era of COVID-19. J Perinat Med. 2021;49(6):674–7.
5. Effati-Daryani F, Zarei S, Mohammadi A, Hemmati E, GhasemiYngykd S, Mirghafourvand M. Depression, stress, anxiety and their predictors in Iranian pregnant women during the outbreak of COVID-19. BMC Psychol. 2020;8(1):99.
6. da Silva AG, Miranda DM, Diaz AP, Teles ALS, Malloy-Diniz LF, Palha AP. Mental health: why it still

- matters in the midst of a pandemic. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr.* 2020;42(3):229–31.
7. Salehi L, Rahimzadeh M, Molaie E, Zaheri H, Esmaelzadeh-Saeieh S. The relationship among fear and anxiety of COVID-19, pregnancy experience, and mental health disorder in pregnant women: A structural equation model. *Brain Behav.* 2020;10(11):e01835.
  8. Henry JD, Crawford JR. The short-form version of the depression anxiety stress scales (DASS-21): construct validity and normative data in a large nonclinical sample. *Br J ClinPsychol* 2005;44:227–239. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1348/014466505X29657>.
  9. Asghari A, Saed F, Dibajnia P. Psychometric properties of the depression anxiety stress Scales-21 (DASS-21) in a non-clinical Iranian sample. *Int J Psychol.* 2008;2:82–102 [http://www.ijpb.ir/article\\_55507.html](http://www.ijpb.ir/article_55507.html)
  10. Khoury JE, Atkinson L, Bennett T, Jack SM, Gonzalez A. COVID-19 and mental health during pregnancy: The importance of cognitive appraisal and social support. *J Affect Disord [Internet].* 2021 [cited 2022 Nov 14];282:1161–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33601691/>
  11. Lin W, Wu B, Chen B, Zhong C, Huang W, Yuan S, et al. Associations of COVID-19 related experiences with maternal anxiety and depression: implications for mental health management of pregnant women in the post-pandemic era. *Psychiatry Res [Internet].* 2021 [cited 2022 Nov 14];304(114115):114115. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34303943/>
  12. Ayaz R, Hocaoglu M, Günay T, Yardımcı OD, Turgut A, Karateke A. Anxiety and depression symptoms in the same pregnant women before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Perinat Med [Internet].* 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 14];48(9):965–70. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32887191/>
  13. Moyer CA, Compton SD, Kaselitz E, Muzik M. Pregnancy-related anxiety during COVID-19: a nationwide survey of 2740 pregnant women. *Arch WomensMent Health [Internet].* 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 14];23(6):757–65. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32989598/>
  14. Effati-Daryani F, Zarei S, Mohammadi A, Hemmati E, GhasemiYngyknd S, Mirghafourvand M. Depression, stress, anxiety and their predictors in Iranian pregnant women during the outbreak of COVID-19. *BMC Psychol [Internet].* 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 14];8(1):99. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-00464-8>