ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Comparative study of respiratory dynamics using Pressure control volume guaranteed mode to conventional modes in elective robotic pelvic surgeries under general anesthesia

¹Dr. Apoorva Naik, ²Dr. Ankita Chaudhary, ³Dr. Shruthi A, ⁴Dr. Murugesan C

¹Consultant Anaesthesiologist, Ground floor Ot Complex, District Hospital attached to Karwar Institute of Medical Sciences, Karwar, India

²Senior Registrar, Department of Anaesthesia, Narayana Hrudayalaya, Hosur road, Anekal, Bangalore, India ³Senior Registrar, Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, Vasavi Hospital, 1st stage Kumaraswamy Layout, Bengaluru, India

⁴Senior Consultant and Head of the Department of Anaesthesia, Mazumdar Shaw Medical Centre, Naryana Hrudayalaya, Hosur Road, Anekal, Bangalore, India

Corresponding author

Dr. Murugesan C

Senior Consultant and Head of the Department of Anaesthesia, Mazumdar Shaw Medical Centre, Naryana Hrudayalaya, Hosur Road, Anekal, Bangalore, India

Email: anaik868@yahoo.com

Received date: 18 October, 2023 Revised date: 20 November, 2023 Acceptance date: 30 December, 2023

ABSTRACT

Background: With modern anaesthesia workstation, newer modes of ventilation like pressure controlled volume guaranteed mode (PCV VG) which combines the advantages of (VCV) volume control mode & (PCV) pressure control mode are being explored. Present study was aimed to compare respiratory dynamics using Pressure control volume guaranteed mode to conventional modes in elective robotic pelvic surgeries under general anesthesia. Material and Methods: Present study was single-center, prospective, randomized, open label study, conducted in adult patients(>18 years), belonging to ASA I and II of either sex undergoing elective robotic pelvic surgery under general anaesthesia, Patients were ventilated by VCV, PCV or PCV VG modes based on randomization. Results: Peak airway pressures in PCV & PCV VG modes remained comparable after 30minutesofpneumo-insufflationtilltheendofonehourofpneumo-insufflationindicating both PCV & PCV VG modes were equivocal at maintaining low peakairwaypressureunderpneumo-insufflationandlithotomywith Trendelenburg position. Dynamic compliance was high in PCV VG mode when compared to the conventional modes with statistically significant p values throughout the study period. A fall indynamic compliance was noted in all 3 modes in comparison to the baseline values during positioning and pneumo-insufflation. PCV VG mode delivered a higher tidal volume throughout the study period whencompared to conventional modes. This indicates that a better tidal volume with lowerpeak airway pressure was achievable with PCV VG when compared to conventionalmodes in our study settings. Conclusion: PCV VG mode which incorporates the innovation of delivering the preset tidal volume in a pressure regulated fashion can be advantageous over the conventional modes of ventilation under general anaesthesia in terms of ease of use, better tidal volume delivery, maintaining lower peak airway pressure & better intraoperative hemodynamics.

Keywords: robotic surgeries, PCV VG, tidal volume, pneumoinsufflation

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial- Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non- commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past 20 years, technological advances coupled with changes in the practice patterns regarding the route of surgery have led to an increase in the incidence of robotic surgeries¹. With the recent advancements in surgical procedures, there is a

greater emphasis on minimally invasive techniques with the goal of improving patient outcome and satisfaction while decreasing the surgical morbidity and mortality.¹

The birth of robotic surgery took place in an era where there was an increasing demand for greater

surgical precision with surgical safety and the surgeons were increasingly adopting minimal invasive surgical (MIS) technologies to enhance their outcomes. Robotic assisted laparoscopic surgery was developed to overcome some of the limiting aspects of conventional laparoscopy. Advantages of the robotic platform include better ergonomics, wider range of motion and 3-dimensional stereo vision ^{6,7}. Likewise are the challenges associated with robotic anaesthesia. The robotic assisted laparoscopic pelvic surgeries require steep Trendelenburg position and pneumo-insufflation. The positional changes along with pneumo-insufflation cause dramatic physiologicalderangements. ⁸

With modern anaesthesia workstation, newer modes of ventilation like pressure controlled volume guaranteed mode (PCV VG) which combines the advantages of (VCV) volume control mode & (PCV) pressure control mode are being explored. ¹² Not many studies are available in the Indian scenario comparing the advantages of pressure control volume guaranteed mode (PCVVG) over the conventional modes of ventilation (PCV & VCV) and its effects on the lung mechanics in robotic assisted laparoscopic pelvic surgeries. Present study was aimed to compare respiratory dynamics using Pressure control volume guaranteed mode to conventional modes in elective robotic pelvic surgeries under general anesthesia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Present study was single-center, prospective, randomized, open label study, conducted in department of anaesthesiology, at Mazumdar Shaw Medical Centre, Narayana Health City, Bommasandra, Bangalore., India. Study duration was of 6 months (July 2019 to November 2019). Study approval was obtained from institutional ethical committee.

Inclusion criteria

 Adult patients (>18 years), belonging to ASA I and II of either sex undergoing elective robotic pelvic surgery under general anaesthesia, willing to participate in present study

Exclusion criteria

- BMI>40
- Neurological (seizure), metabolic, neuromuscular or genetic disorders, valvular & structural heart diseases
- Underlying lung infections in past 2 weeks
- Hepatic or renal insufficiency

Patients were enrolled in the study after registering in CTRI registry. Randomization was done by block randomization. Randomization code was generated using online software and allocation concealment was done using serially numbered opaque sealed envelope into three groups: group VCV, group PCV and group PCV VG.

A written informed consent was taken after explaining in detail about the procedure during pre-anaesthetic visit prior to including in the study. Demographic data of the patients like age, sex and history were obtained through an interview. The physical and medical examination was conducted. Those findings were recorded on predesigned proforma.

Anaesthetic techniques were standardized for all patients. Pre anaesthetic checkup was done one day prior to the surgery. Patients were evaluated for any systemic diseases and routine, relevant laboratory investigations were conducted and evaluated. As per institutional protocol, fasting guidelines for elective surgery was followed. i.e., 6 hours for solids & 2 hours for clear fluids prior to the surgery.

All cases were performed on pre-determined anaesthesia workstation, Mindray Wato EX 65 equipped in the robotic operating room along with da Vinci Si robotic system. Standard general anaesthesia technique with the use of standard monitoring viz., electrocardiography, non-invasive blood pressure, capnography, core body temperature & pulse-oximetry was instituted. Invasive arterial line insertion for arterial blood gas analysis post induction was carried out only as per the requirement for the surgery among the participants in the study. Baseline vitals were recorded for all patients.

After successful intravenous (IV) cannulation, intravenous induction was followed using 0.05mg/kg of midazolam, 2 mcg/kg of fentanyl & 2mg/kg of propofol with titration of dose according to the age of the patient. Patients were relaxed by administration of 0.1mg/kg of cis-atracurium. After induction & muscle relaxation, patients were electively ventilated for 3minutes and endo-tracheal intubation was performed. Arterial line was secured post induction based on the requirement for the surgical procedure among the participants in the study group. After induction & intubation, general anaesthesia was maintained with the use of inhalational agent, isoflurane at 1-2% end tidal anaesthetic concentration. To maintain the surgical plane of anaesthesia, intravenous infusion of fentanylat1mcg/kg/hr and cis-atracurium infusion at 2-3 mcg/kg/min was used for the first one hour followed by 1 mcg/kg/min until undocking of robotic

Patients were ventilated by VCV, PCV or PCV VG modes based on randomization. In all 3 modes, patients were ventilated with tidal volume of 6-8 ml/kg body weight, respiratory rate of 12-14 breaths per minute, I: E ratio 1:1.5, Fi02 0.5 & PEEP of 5 cm of water. The OT temperature was set at 20°C and patients were kept warm using forced warm air device. All the pressure points were adequately padded with gel pads and the patients were strapped to the table and positioned in lithotomy with steep head low (steep Trendelenburg) 45- 60 degree position. Intra-abdominal pressure was maintained between 12-15 mm Hg during carbon dioxide pneumoinsufflation. After pneumo-peritoneum, respiratory rate or the peak inspiratory pressure was adjusted to achieve EtCO2 between 33 - 37 mm of Hg based on

modes of ventilation. After correction of fasting deficit, maintenance fluid was administered based on hemodynamic variations and as per surgical requirements. Hypotension (> 20% decrease in the preoperative mean blood pressure or mean arterial pressure ≤ 60 mmHg), bradycardia(heart rate of ≤ 50 beats per minute), and arterial desaturation, if any, was followed up in peri-operative period and managed accordingly.

Patients requiring more than 40 cm of water peak airway pressure to achieve desired tidal volume, the patients in whom the ventilator settings were not established even after 30 minutes of pneumoinsufflation, robotic surgery converted into open procedure, saturation maintaining below 95% & wide hemodynamic fluctuations intra-operatively were excluded from the study. The need for change over from conventional to advanced ventilatory modes after randomization if any, use of recruitment maneuvers if any during the intra-operative period were recorded & incidence of such conversion was statistically determined.

The respiratory parameters viz., tidal volume, respiratory rate, peak airway pressure, dynamic compliance, oxygen saturation, end tidal carbon dioxide, arterial blood gas analysis for arterial partial pressures of oxygen, carbon dioxide, arterial oxygen saturation, hemodynamic parameters viz., heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure &mean arterial pressure were recorded during the predetermined (T0, T1, T2, T3, T4, T5) time periods.

- T0 is the time 5 minutes after intubation
- T1 is the time 10 minutes after induction & intubation in supine position.
- T2 is the time 15 minutes after positioning & pneumo-insufflation.
- T3 is the time 30 minutes after pneumoinsufflation

- T4 is the time 60 minutes after pneumoinsufflation
- T5 is the time 10 minutes after bringing to supine position &desufflation.

Any adverse event during the period of pneumoinsufflation viz., barotrauma, pulmonary oedema, atelectasis, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, pneumo-mediastinum, hypoxemia, gas embolism, pneumo-pericardium, airway oedema & cerebral oedema were recorded. At the end of surgery, residual neuromuscular blockade was reversed neostigmine 0.05mg/kg, glyco-pyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg and tracheal extubation was performed once clinical signs of reversal, and a train of four (TOF) ratio of 0.9 was achieved. Patients received 0.1mg/kg i.v. ondansetron at the end of surgery to prevent postoperative nausea & vomiting. Postoperatively, pain was managed with IV paracetamol 1000 mg every 8th hourly.

All the obtained data was statistically analyzed using SSPS software 21. Continuous variables were expressed by using mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables were expressed using percentage and frequency. Comparison of peak airway pressures between the three groups was done using ANOVA/Kruskal Wallis test with suitable post hoc tests. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Comparison of continuous variables between the groups was done using ANOVA.

RESULTS

The study group comprised of adult patients >18 years. In present study, mean age, gender, ASA grade, type of surgical procedures & anthropometrical measurements (weight, height & BMI) were comparable in all3 groups & difference was not statistically significant. (p>0.05)

Table 1: General characteristics

		Group		Total	P value
	PCV	PCVVG	VCV		
Age					
≤ 35	3	1	2	6	0.859
36 -55	12	13	11	36	
≥ 56	10	11	12	33	
Sex					
Male	7	8	4	19	0.4
Female	18	17	21	56	
ASA Grade					
1	12	7	8	27	0.297
2	13	18	17	48	
Surgery					
Gynaecological Surgery	16	17	20	53	
Lower Abdominal Surgery	3	1	1	5	
Urological Surgery	6	7	4	17	
Anthropometry					
Weight (kg)	60.6 ± 12.443	61.51 ± 9.49	60.48 ± 11.709		0.94
Height (cm)	161.84 ± 8.295	160.36 ± 7.21	160.72 ± 7.374		0.776

BMI	22.91 ± 3.056	23.84 ± 2.897	23.24 ± 3.256	0.557

At time points T0 & T1: Post induction & intubation before the pneumo-insufflation, peak airway pressures were comparable among the 3 groups with no statistically significant difference between the groups.(p value>0.05) After pneumo-insufflation, peak airway pressures increased with reference to their baseline values individually in all 3 groups. Highest increase in peak airway pressure from the baseline value was noted in the VCV group (VCV: P peak from 19.4±2.76 at T0 to 34.08±2.61 at T2).

At T2 time interval i.e., 15 minutes after pneumoinsufflation there was statistically significant difference in peak airway pressure between the 3 groups. At T2, VCV mode recorded the highest peak (34.08 ± 2.61) followed airway pressure PCV(30.04±2.89)& PCV VG recording the lowest peak airway pressure (28.28 \pm 2.151). The inter group p values were statistically significant. (At T2, p values- VCV vs. PCV VG<0.01, VCV vs. PCV<0.01, PCV VG vs. PCV =0.01) Thus, implying that rise in peak airway pressure after pneumo-insufflation was least with PCV VG mode & highest with VCV mode with statistically significant intergroup p values emphasizing the superiority of PCV VG mode over

conventional modes soon after pneumo-insufflation.

At T4:Peak airway pressure remained higher than baseline values individually in all 3 groups. But, peak airway pressure was comparable between PCVVG & PCV with no statistical significance. (p value: PCV VG vs. PCV:at T3, p =0.096; at T4, p =0.35) Peak airway pressure remained highest in VCV mode in comparison to the other 2 modes at T3 & T4 with statistically significant intergroup p values. (At T3, P value: VCV vs. PCV VG < 0.01, VCV vs. PCV <0.01) (AtT4, P value: VCV vs. PCVVG <0.01, VCV vs. PCV <0.01)

It was observed that peak airway pressure was higher in VCV mode after 30 minutes of pneumo-insufflation while peak airway pressure was maintained on lower side in pressure regulated modes PCV & PCV VG. PCV VG & PCV were equivocal in maintaining a lower peak airway pressure after 30 minutes of pneumo-insufflation. VCV remained inferior to PCV & PCV VG in maintaining peak airway pressure after 30 minutesofpneumo-insufflation.

After desufflation at T5: Peak airway pressure remained comparable between the 3 groups with no statistical significance between the3 groups (p>0.05).

Table 2: Peak airway pressure (P peak) between the groups

Peak Pressure	PCV	PCVVG	VCV	P Value	PCV Vs	VCV	VCV
(cm of				ANOVA	PCVVG	Vs PCV	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{s}$
H2O)							PCVVG
	18.36 ±	18.08 ±	19.4 ±	0.167	0.701	0.157	0.074
T0	2.94	1.869	2.769				
	18.52 ±	19.12±	19.36±	0.569	0.463	0.305	0.769
T1	2.80	2.682	3.121				
	30.04±	28.28±	34.08±	< 0.01	0.018	< 0.01	< 0.01
T2	2.894	2.151	2.613				
	30.4 ±	29.24 ±	35.44 ±	< 0.01	0.096	< 0.01	< 0.01
T3	2.915	2.296	2.002				
	30.08±	29.44±	35.04±	< 0.01	0.356	< 0.01	< 0.01
T4	2.532	2.485	2.274				
	20.64±	20.76±	22.2 ±	0.117	0.885	0.063	0.085
T5	2.481	3.345	2.858				

At baseline T0 & T1 intervals, dynamic compliance was highest for PCVVG (46.48±7.241), followed by PCV (41.12±7.58) least for VCV (37±4.062). Difference in baseline values of dynamic compliance was statistically significant between the 3groups. (p<0.01).

On pneumo-insufflation: (T2,T3,T4) there was decrease in compliance from baseline values individually in all 3 groups immediately after pneumoinsufflation (T2 time interval onwards) and same trend was noticed from T2 to T4i.e. 60 minutes after pneumo-insufflation.

During the period of pneumo insufflation, dynamic compliance remained comparable between PCVVG & PCV with no statistical significance from T2 to T4

time intervals.

PCVVG & PCV were equivocal in maintaining dynamic compliance during the period of pneumoinsufflation. VCV recorded lowest dvnamic compliance among the 3 groups during the period of pneumo-in sufflation from T2 to T4 time intervals with statistically significant intergroup p value. This observation implied that VCV was inferior to the other 2 modes PCV & PCVVG in maintaining dynamic compliance during the period of pneumoinsufflation. Post desufflation at T5, dynamic compliance remained high in PCV VG group followed by PCV group & lowest in VCV group with statistically significant intergroup p values. (p < 0.01)

Table 3: Dynamic compliance between groups

Tuble 3. Dynamic compliance between groups											
Cdyn	PCV	PCVVG	VCV	P Value	PCV Vs	VCV	VCV Vs				
(ml/cm Of H2O)				ANOVA	PCVVG	Vs PCV	PCVVG				
	41.12 ± 7.585	46.48 ± 7.241	37 ±	< 0.01	0.005	0.028	< 0.01				
T0			4.062								
	40.96 ± 9.185	46.16 ± 7.116	37.04 ± 4.373	< 0.01	0.012	0.05	< 0.01				
T1											
	19.2 ±	19.12 ± 2.991	13.08 ± 1.631	< 0.01	0.932	< 0.01	< 0.01				
T2	4.637										
	18.64 ± 4.424	19.08 ± 3.148	12.8 ± 1.691	< 0.01	0.637	< 0.01	< 0.01				
T3											
	18.92 ± 4.183	18.96 ± 3.102	13 ±	< 0.01	0.969	< 0.01	< 0.01				
T4			3.367								
	33.96 ± 6.419	41.04 ± 5.849	26.2 ± 3.428	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01				
T5											

At baseline, T0 & T1 time intervals, mean end tidal carbon dioxide was comparable between the 3 groups. There was no statistical significance noted between the 3 groups. (p>0.05 at T0 & T1) On pneumoinsufflation and positioning, at T2, end tidal carbon dioxide remained comparable between the 3groups with no statistical significance (P>0.05). At T3, EtCO2 was highest in VCV mode followed by PCV mode and lowest in PCVVG mode with statistically significant intergroup p value between VCV vs. PCVVG. At T4, EtCO2 was highest in VCV mode followed by PCV mode and lowest in PCVVG mode

with statistically significant intergroup p value between VCV vs. PCV VG (p = 0.006)

Overall, under pneumo-peritoneum PCVVG maintained a lower EtCO2 in comparison to conventional modes. AtT5 postdesufflation,EtCO2 was highest with VCV mode followed by PCV mode and lowest with PCV VG mode with statistically significant pvalue. Thus, EtCO2 was better maintained in pressure regulated modes (PCV & PCVVG) after T3 time interval than volume controlled mode.

Table 4: End tidal carbon dioxide

EtCO2 (mmHg)	PCV	PCVVG		VCV	P Value ANOVA	PCV Vs PCVVG	VCV Vs PCV	VCV Vs PCVVG
(IIIIIIII)							VSICV	
	33.4 ± 1	32.68	<u>+</u>	$33.24 \pm$	0.104	0.043	0.649	0.114
T0		1.314		1.363				
	32.84 ± 1.546	32.96	<u>+</u>	$32.92 \pm$	0.959	0.778	0.851	0.925
T1		1.645		1.288				
	34.84 ± 0.85	34.44	+	$34.84 \pm$	0.405	0.245	1	0.245
T2		1.356		1.344				
	34.88± 1.269	34.16	+	35.24±	0.012	0.049	0.32	0.004
T3		1.313		1.234				
	34.64± 1.221	34.28	+	35.21±	0.021	0.269	0.086	0.006
T4		1.308		0.833				
	33.6 ± 0.866	33.4	+	34.24±	0.021	0.516	0.04	0.008
T5		1.155		1.2				

At baseline, T0 & T1 time intervals VCV mode generated a lower tidal volume when compared to PCV & PCVVG with statistically significant p values. (At T0, VCV-405.6 \pm 21.56, PCV $-439.6 \pm$ 28, PCVVG -445.9 ± 22.5) Tidal volume was comparable between PCV & PCVVG with no statistical significance between the 2 groups (p>0.05).

On pneumo-insufflation:(T2,T3,T4), after pneumo-insufflation, there was fall from baseline values individually in all 3groups. At T2 & T3, PCV VG

mode recorded highest tidal volume followed by PCV mode and the least being VCV mode, with statistically significant p values.

At T4, PCV & PCV VG were comparable with respect to the tidal volume generated while volume control mode had least tidal volume generated with statistically significant p values.

Post desufflation, at T5, VCV had least tidal volume followed by PCV whereas PCVVG had highest tidal volume with significant inter group p value of <0.01.

Table 5: Tidal volume

Tidal Volume	PCV	PCVVG	VCV	P Value	PCV Vs	VCV	VCV
(ml)				ANOVA	PCVVG	Vs PCV	Vs
							PCVVG
T0	439.6 ±	445.9 ± 22.53	405.6	< 0.01	0.361	< 0.01	< 0.01
	28.295		± 21.57				
	431.08 ±	438.48 ±	392.2 ±	< 0.01	0.291	< 0.01	< 0.01
T1	29.489	23.682	19.636				
	393.92 ±	409.16 ±	$366.4 \pm$	< 0.01	0.031	< 0.01	< 0.01
T2	33.062	16.436	20.992				
	$388.28 \pm$	409.4	356.4 ±	< 0.01	0.002	< 0.01	< 0.01
T3	32.671	± 17.1	17.231				
	$386.88 \pm$	407.32 ±	346 ±	< 0.01	0.136	0.004	< 0.01
T4	32.707	19.237	73.951				
T5	414.96 ±	432.28 ±	375.4 ±	< 0.01	0.011	< 0.01	< 0.01
	30.831	20.995	15.473				

Arterial partial pressure of oxygen was comparable between the 3 groups from T0-T5 time intervals without statistically significant p value. (p > 0.05)

Table 6: PaO2

PaO2	PCV	PCVVG	VCV	P Value ANOVA	PCV Vs PCVVG	VCV Vs PCV	VCV Vs PCVVG
T0	251.3	259.67 ±	292.78 ±				
	±53.141	44.593	59.491	0.221	0.733	0.1	0.195
Т3	167±29.9	176.67±36.	168.11 ±	0.838	0.586	0.95	0.638
	22	878	46.601				
T5	210.2	209.11 ±	199.33 ±				
	± 54.924	35.332	41.572	0.851	0.959	0.605	0.65

Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide was comparable between all 3 groups at T0, T3 & T5 intervals with no statistical significant p values among the groups.

Table 7: PaCO2

PaCO2	PCV	PCVVG	VCV	P	PCV Vs	VCV Vs	VCV Vs
				Value	PCVVG	PCV	PCVVG
T0	34.1 ±	36.22 ±	38 ±	0.232	0.349	0.091	0.443
	3.573	1.481	7.517				
Т3	40.1 ±	39.67 ±	43.78 ±	0.171	0.85	0.118	0.09
	4.358	5.895	4.522				
T5	38.8 ±	40.11 ±	41.11 ±	0.261	0.351	0.106	0.486
	3.327	2.667	2.934				

Arterial oxygen saturation was comparable among all 3 groups. It remained uniform at 100 in all 3 groups at T0 and T5 time intervals whereas at T3, VCV had a mean of 99.89 as opposed to 100 in PCV & PCVVG although this difference was not statistically

significant.(p=0.341).

Oxygenation parameters analysed were obtained from subgroup of the study population with the arterial line inserted postinduction, as per the requirement for the surgery.

Table 8: SaO2

SaO2	T1	Т3	T5	MeanatT3	Std. Deviation At T3	P Value
PCV	100	100	100	100	0	
PCVVG	100	100	100	100	0	
VCV	100	99.89	100	99.89	0.333	0.341

DISCUSSION

As such laparoscopic surgeries are designed to offer an equivalence to open surgery with less tissue trauma and speedier discharge.³ However, some of the challenges to widespread adoption of the laparoscopic approach are the steep learning curve, longer operating times as well as counter-intuitive hand movements, two-dimensional visualization and limited instrument mobility ^{6,7}

The physiological effects of pneumo-peritoneum can cause cardiovascular instability with increased systemic vascular resistance & higher myocardial oxygen consumption. The respiratory system changes include increased ventilation perfusion mismatch,

decrease in functional residual capacity, decreased compliance, higher peak airway pressure & pulmonary congestion. Many ventilator strategies like recruitment maneuvers, inverse ratio ventilation and application of PEEP have been utilized for better ventilation in robotic and minimal access surgeries. The advantages of pressure control mode over volume control mode have also been studied extensively. 10,11

Pressure controlled volume guaranteed breaths are characterized by decelerating flow waveforms and this mode delivers breaths with the efficiency of pressure control mode yet compensating for the changes in lung compliance with consistent tidal volumes unlike pressure control mode where there is a need to repeatedly adjust the airway pressures to deliver desired tidal volume whereas volume control may require higher airway pressures to deliver constant flow rate and constant tidal volume. ^{12,13}

Robotic pelvic surgeries pose great challenges to anaesthesiologist because of steep Trendelenburg position for prolonged hours along with pneumoinsufflation which significantly decreases the functional residual capacity (FRC) of the patients' lungs under anaesthesia. Decrease in FRC, decreased compliance with increased peak airway pressures are the effects caused on respiratory system. Increase in heart rate & blood pressure occurs due to increased venous return in Trendelenburg position.¹¹

Application of advanced ventilator mode, PCVVG which guarantees set tidal volume delivery in pressure regulated ventilation is theoretically thought to be advantageous over conventional modes in these surgeries requiring pneumoinsufflation with Trendelenburg position. 14,15

Distribution of age was

Distribution of age was comparable among the 3 groups without statistically significant difference between the groups. Majority of the patients were in $3^{\rm rd}$ to $5^{\rm th}{\rm decades}$ of their life in our study. The age distribution was concordant with our reference articles involving laparoscopic procedures & robotic gynecological procedures. 16,17 But , our reference studies involving radical prostatectomies involved population in their $5^{\rm th}\&~6^{\rm th}$ decade of life. 18,19

The study conducted by Kothari A et al.,20 in laparoscopic cholecystectomy among 3 groups observed that PCV VG & PCV were comparable with respect to peak airway pressure after pneumo insufflation whereas VCV mode recorded statistically significant higher peak airway pressures. Dion et al., 21 also observed similar finding in their study conducted on laparoscopic assisted bariatric surgeries between the 3 modes. Our study showed similar finding after 30 minutes of pneumo-insufflation. Additional observation of our study was that of occurrence of statistically significant lower peak airway pressure for PCV VG group after 15 minutes of pneumoinsufflation. Thus, our study population showed a favourable response to PCVVG immediately on positioning & pneumo-insufflation when compared to conventional modes of ventilation. Trendelenburg position and decrease in FRC leading to minimal atelectasis resulting in non-homogenous lung fields in our patients within 15 minutes of repositioning and pneumo-insufflation would have lead to this observation. Due to positive pressure effect & PEEP compensating for atelectatic changes, PCV and PCV VG modes became comparable after 30minutesup to 60 minutes of pneumo-insufflation during the study.

There were no other articles comparing all the3 modes together in a single study. Gad M *et al.*,¹⁷ compared PCV VG vs. VCV in robotic pelvic surgery and concluded that PCVVG maintained lower peak airway pressures on pneumo insufflation. Their observation was similar to our observation. Other studies quoted in our review compared either PCV or PCV VG with VCV mode &found that pressure controlled modes maintained lower peak airway pressures over volume controlled mode as observed in our study.

Additional observation in our study was that although PCV VG mode maintained better dynamic compliance than PCV mode before pneumoinsufflation & after desufflation, during the period of pneumo-insufflation dynamic compliance remained comparable between PCV & PCV VG. Similar observation was noted by Kothari A*et al.*, ¹⁹ in their study.

The increase in EtCO2 is usually compensated by increasing the respiratory rate in electively ventilated patients especially in volume control mode. This observation denotes the fact that building up EtCO2 led to increase in respiratory rate during the period of pneumo- insufflation. Thus respiratory rate was higher in VCV group than PCV & PCV VG groups in our study. But, respiratory rate remained comparable in all 3 groups in our reference studies which were mainly based on laparoscopic surgeries. Gad et al. 25 followed equal ratio ventilation in volume control group during pneumo-insufflation in their study. Thus, variation in observation with respect to respiratory rate between our study population & our reference studies can be attributed to the varied intra-operative strategies used at maintaining acceptable EtCO2 during the period of pneumo-insufflation.

Tidal volume was comparable between PCV & PCV VG modes at T0 & T1 whereas VCV mode achieved lower tidal volume than pressure controlled modes during T0 & T1 time intervals. There was a drop in tidal volume achieved among all the 3 groups at T2 i.e. 15 minutes after pneumoinsufflation. PCVVG mode had highest tidal volume achieved followed by PCV mode and least being VCV mode at T2. Similar trend was observed at T3timeinterval i.e., 30 minutes after pneumo-insufflation as well.

Kothari A *et al.*, ¹⁹ observed that tidal volume delivered was comparable between the 3 groups at all time periods but this difference in observation can be attributed to the fact that their study was conducted in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Our other reference studies analysed only peak airway pressure, dynamic compliance, hemodynamic sand oxygenation

parameters.

Based on the hemodynamic parameters analysed we observed that the hemodynamic parameters remained stable in all 3 groups within the study period. Mean arterial pressure & oxygen saturation were well maintained with normal limits and were comparable between the 3 groups at all the time points of the study but heart rate was better maintained in PCV VG mode when compared to conventional modes after 30 minutes of pneumo-insufflation. This observation implies that although all 3 modes maintained stable hemodynamics, PCVVG had a better edge than the conventional modes in maintaining a more stable and lower heart rate during period of pneumo-insufflation. In our reference studies, hemodynamic parameters were stable between the compared modes without statistically significant difference. But, we observed in our study that PCVVG maintained a lower heart rate than the conventional modes.

There was no incidence of any adverse events associated with pneumo-insufflation viz., barotrauma, pulmonary oedema, atelectasis, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, pneumo-mediastinum, hypoxemia, gas embolism, pneumo-pericardium, airway oedema & cerebral oedema in our study population.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that with the advent of modern minimally invasive surgical practice involving robotic surgeries which require pneumo-insufflation & extreme surgical positions, PCV VG mode which incorporates the innovation of delivering the preset tidal volume in a pressure regulated fashion can be advantageous over the conventional modes of ventilation under general anaesthesia in terms of ease of use, better tidal volume delivery, maintaining lower peak airway pressure & better intraoperative hemodynamics when compared to the conventional modes (PCV, VCV) especially so in extreme surgical positions and pneumoinsufflation affecting the FRC & lung compliance as in robotic assisted laparoscopic pelvic surgeries. Future scope remains to explore the added advantages of PCV VG mode in robotic surgeries by means of multicentric trials.

Conflict of Interest: None to declare **Source of funding:** Nil

REFERENCES

- 1. Irwin M, Patil V. Anaesthesia for robotic assisted laparoscopic surgery. Continuing Educationinanaesthesia, Critical care& Pain. 2009;9(4):125-129.
- Visco AG Advincula AP. Robotic gynaecologic surgery. Obstetrics &Gynecology.2008Dec1; 112(6):1369-84.
- Anderson JE, Chang DC, Parsons JK, Talamini MA.
 The first national examination of outcomes and trends in robotic surgery in the United States Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2012 Jul

- 31;215(1):107-14.
- Phong SV, KohLK. Anaesthesia for robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: considerations for laparoscopy in the Trendelenburg position. AnaesthIntensive Care 2007; 35:281–5
- Davis, Kenneth, Branson *et al.* Comparison of volume control and pressure control ventilation: Is flow waveform the difference? Journal of Trauma, Injury and Critical care. Nov 2009;41(5):808-814.
- Nieboer TE, Johnson N, Lethaby A, Tavender E, Curr E, Garry R, van Voorst S, Mol BW, Kluivers KB. Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease. Cochrane database syst rev. 2009 Jul 8;3(8).
- Choosing the route of hysterectomy for benign disease. ACOG Committee Opinion No.444: American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.ObstetGynecol.2009; 114:1156–1158.
- Gainsburg DM. Anaesthetic concerns for roboticassisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Minerva Anestesiol 2012;78:596-604.
- Slinger P, Kilpatrick B. Lung protective strategies in anaesthesia. Brit J Anaesth 2010; 105:i108 -i16.
- Marino PL, Sutin KM. Principles of mechanical ventilation In.: The ICU Book, 3rd ed . Philedelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2007:457-71.
- 11. Ashrafian H, Clancy O, Grover V, Darzi A. The evolution of robotic surgery: surgical and anaesthetic aspects. British Journal of Anaesthesia.2017; 119 (S1): i72–i84.
- 12. Slustsky AS.ACCP concensus conference: mechanical ventilation. Chest 1993; 104:1833-59.
- 13. Guldager H, Nielsen SL, Carl P, Soerensen MB. A comparison of volume control and pressure-regulated volume control ventilation in acute respiratory failure. Crit Care1997;1:75-7.
- Grasso S, Mascia L, Ranieri VM. Respiratory care. Miller's anaesthesia 8thedition. 3064-3065.
- Davis K Jr, Branson RD, Campbell RS, et al.: Comparison of volume control and pressure control ventilation: is flow waveform the difference? J Trauma1996; 41:808-814.
- 16. Jaju R, Jaju P B, Dubey M *et al.* Comparison of volume controlled ventilation Andpressurecontrolledventilationinpatientsundergoingr oboticassistedpelvicsurgeries:An open labeltrial. IndianJAnaesth 2017; 61:17-23.
- 17. Gad M, Gaballa K, Abdallah A *et al.* Pressure controlled ventilation with volumeguaranteecomparedtovolumecontrolledventilati onwithequalratioinobesepatients undergoing laparoscopic hysterectomy. Anaesth Essays Res 2019; 13: 347-53.
- Choi E M, Na S, Choi S H, An J, Rha K H, Oh Y J. Comparison of volume-controlled and pressurecontrolled ventilation in steep Trendelenburg position for robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. J Clin Anesth 2011;23:183–188.
- 19. Kim M S, Soh S, Kim Y S et al. Comparison of pressure controlled ventilation withvolume guarantee and volume controlled 1:1 equal ratio ventilation on oxygenation and respiratory mechanics during robot assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: a Randomised controlled trial. Int J MedSci 2018;15(13):1522-1529.
- 20. Kothari A, Bhaskaran D. Pressure controlled volume guara nteed mode improves respiratory dynamics during la prosco

- piccholecystectomy: Acomparison with conventional modes. Anaesth Essays Res 2018;12(1):206-212.
- 21. Dion JM, McKee C, Tobias JD, Sohner P, Herz D, Teich S, Rice J, Barry N, Michalsky M. Ventilation during laparoscopic-assisted bariatric surgery: volume-controlled, pressure-controlled or volume-guaranteed pressure-regulated modes. Int JClinExp Med 2014;7(8):2242-2247.